# How to Empower Educators through Digital Pedagogies and Faculty Development Strategies

**P. S. Aithal [1] & Shubhrajyotsna Aithal [2]**
[1] Professor, Institute of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka State, India,
ORCID-ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com
[2] Institute of Engineering & Technology, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India,
ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-1081-5820; E-mail: shubhraaithal@gmail.com

**Subject Area:** Education Management.
**Type of the Paper:** Exploratory Research.
**Type of Review:** Peer Reviewed as per |C|O|P|E| guidance.
**Indexed In:** OpenAIRE.
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10392559
**Google Scholar Citation:** IJAEML

**How to Cite this Paper:**
Aithal, P. S. & Aithal, S. (2023). How to Empower Educators through Digital Pedagogies and Faculty Development Strategies. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, *7*(4), 139-183. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10392559

**International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)**
A Refereed International Journal of Srinivas University, India.

Crossref DOI: https://doi.org/10.47992/IJAEML.2581.7000.0198

Received on: 08/10/2023
Published on: 16/12/2023

# How to Empower Educators through Digital Pedagogies and Faculty Development Strategies

**P. S. Aithal [1] & Shubhrajyotsna Aithal [2]**
[1] Professor, Institute of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, Karnataka State, India,
ORCID-ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com
[2] Institute of Engineering & Technology, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India,
ORCID-ID: 0000-0003-1081-5820; E-mail: shubhraaithal@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** *In order to fully explore and comprehend the dynamic intersection between digital technology, pedagogical innovation, and faculty development within the higher education landscape, it is currently necessary to empower educators by providing them with training in digital pedagogies and various other faculty development strategies in higher education institutions, including universities. In an era of rapid technological change and shifting educational paradigms, this research aims to identify efficient tactics and approaches that can enable educators to flourish in their roles as mentors, teachers, and researchers. This research seeks to provide important insights that will help educational institutions improve the quality of teaching, learning, and research, thereby fostering a more robust and responsive educational ecosystem. It does this by looking into global trends, synthesizing postulates, and offering useful suggestions.*

**Methodology:** *Exploratory research methodology is used. Required information are collected using various online sources through Google search engine, Google Scholar search engine, and Various GPTs including ChatGPT and Bard. The information is analysed, evaluated, and interpreted as per the specific framework to develop the postulates and provide suggestions to improve the system.*

**Outcome:** *Based on systematically identified objectives, analysis, evaluation, comparison, and interpretation, on how to empower educators through digital pedagogies, and faculty development strategies, few postulates and suggestions are presented as the outcome of this exploratory research.*

**Originality/Value:** *Through systematically reviewing the current status, and collecting secondary information, various digital pedagogies for classroom teaching, blended teaching, and online teaching, faculty development strategies for improving classroom teaching, online teaching, and blended teaching are identified, analysed, compared, evaluated, and interpreted with unique suggestions.*

**Type of the Paper:** *Exploratory Research*

**Keywords:** Higher education system, Empowering educators, Faculty development strategies, Digital pedagogy, Education technology, Theory of Accountability in HEI. ABC model of faculty Research productivity

## 1. INTRODUCTION :

The quality of education and students' readiness for the fast-changing global context are directly impacted by instructors' empowerment in the digital era. Information and technology are fundamental to practically every element of society in the modern digital age, and education is no different. The transmission of crucial digital literacy skills as well as subject-specific knowledge is sped up by educators. By giving them the necessary tools, they can maximize the potential of digital pedagogies and tools to engage students, improve the learning process, and get them ready for a future filled with technological opportunities and challenges [1].

Additionally, the entire nature of teaching and learning has changed as a result of the digital age. With the introduction of blended and online learning settings, instructors must successfully adapt to new teaching strategies, a variety of learning preferences, and the integration of digital resources. By giving

educators the skills and confidence, they need to deal with these changes, we can encourage innovation and creativity in the classroom. It also encourages ongoing professional development, allowing teachers to keep abreast of new technology and pedagogical theories, ultimately helping both teachers and students achieve academic achievement in an increasingly digital society [2].

Digital pedagogies and faculty development strategies are important concepts in the field of modern education, particularly in the context of the digital age. "Digital pedagogies" refer to a variety of instructional tactics and teaching methods that use technology and digital technologies to enhance the learning process. These instructional approaches go beyond traditional classroom instruction and take advantage of the vast array of possibilities offered by digital resources, such as multimedia content, online collaboration, and interactive simulations. Students' engagement, tailored instruction, and the development of critical digital literacy skills are all given top priority in digital pedagogies. Educators can use technology to create engaging, diversified learning environments that cater to the needs and preferences of 21st-century students by employing these strategies [3].

On the other hand, faculty development strategies are initiatives and programs made to help and empower teachers as they adopt excellent teaching approaches, including digital pedagogies. These approaches take into account the dynamic nature of the educational environment and the ongoing requirement for educators to increase their knowledge and expertise. The efforts made to enhance faculty include seminars, workshops, online courses, mentorship programs, and chances for peer collaboration. These techniques aim to provide educators with the skills and materials needed to flourish in their positions, accommodate cutting-edge educational technologies, and ultimately enhance student outcomes. The dedication of educational institutions to providing high-quality and creative education in the digital age depends critically on faculty development [4].

A symbiotic link exists between faculty development initiatives and digital pedagogies. Initiatives for faculty development give teachers the knowledge and assistance they need to successfully incorporate digital pedagogies into their teaching methods. On the other hand, by providing fresh and interesting ways to provide professional development content, the adoption of digital pedagogies can revitalize and stimulate faculty development activities. As a result of this dynamic interaction, educators are better able to understand the challenges of the digital age and promote more effective teaching and learning environments for both teachers and students [5].

In this paper, a fundamental and systematic inquiry is made at the center of contemporary education in the digital age is "How can educators be effectively empowered through digital pedagogies and faculty development strategies?" In addition to highlighting the need of providing students with the skills and information necessary to succeed in a society that is becoming more and more technologically dependent, this question underscores the crucial role that educators have in influencing the learning experiences of students. It is crucial to break this question down into three distinct parts in order to investigate it. First, the study has to look into the characteristics of digital pedagogies as a way to give teachers more power. This entails investigating the different pedagogical strategies that make use of technology, including online resources, blended learning, and flipped classrooms. It should investigate how these digital pedagogies affect teaching and learning effectiveness, how they accommodate various learning preferences, and how they help to promote vital digital literacy abilities in both teachers and students. Second, the study should examine the field of faculty development initiatives and how they might help educators feel more empowered. This component entails a study of the several faculty development initiatives and programs that assist teachers in embracing and mastering digital pedagogies. In order to execute faculty development programs that encourage digital competency and pedagogical innovation among educators, it should also evaluate the efficacy of these tactics, identifying best practices and potential implementation difficulties. In order to provide a thorough understanding of the best ways to empower educators through the synergy of digital pedagogies and faculty development techniques, the research should strive to synthesize the data from the preceding dimensions. This synthesis should provide useful advice and insights for educational organizations, decision-makers, and teachers themselves, thereby enhancing the quality of education as a whole in the digital age. The study question attempts to identify the pathways to successful educator empowerment, which is essential to the success of education in our quickly changing environment, by addressing three dimensions.

## 2. INNOVATIONS IN HIGHER EDUCATION IN TEACHING-LEARNING AREA :

In the rapidly evolving landscape of higher education, universities and institutions worldwide are continually innovating in the teaching-learning domain to enhance the educational experience for students. These innovations are driven by advancements in technology, pedagogical research, and the evolving needs of a diverse student body [6]. Table 1 identifies several noteworthy innovations in the teaching-learning area of higher education institutions:

**(1) Blended Learning and Flipped Classrooms:**

(a) Blended learning combines traditional classroom instruction with online elements, offering students flexibility and personalized learning experiences. This approach allows students to access course materials and engage in discussions online while attending in-person classes for hands-on activities and discussions.

(b) Flipped classrooms reverse the traditional lecture and homework structure. Professors record lectures for students to watch before class, freeing up in-class time for interactive discussions, problem-solving, and collaborative projects. This approach promotes active learning and deeper engagement.

**(2) Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) and online learning platforms:**

(a) By giving everyone access to top-notch courses from prestigious colleges and institutions around the world, online learning platforms and MOOCs have democratized education. Many of the courses that students can take are free or cost less than what they would pay at a typical college.

(b) These platforms provide flexibility, allowing users to learn at their own speed and opening up education to a worldwide audience. Some colleges also provide fully online degree programs to meet the demands of working adults and non-traditional students.

**(3) Personalized Learning and Adaptive Technology:**

(a) Personalized learning uses data analytics and adaptive technology to customize learning experiences and content to the needs of particular students. Algorithms monitor student progress and modify learning resources, tempo, and content complexity as necessary.

(b) By accommodating various learning styles and abilities, this method improves student engagement and achievement. It also aids in locating problem areas where kids might require more assistance.

**(4) Gamification and Immersive Technologies:**

(a) Gamification makes learning more motivating and interesting by incorporating elements of game design. Features like points, badges, leaderboards, and challenges are frequently included.

(b) Immersive technologies give students immersive learning opportunities, such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR). For instance, medical students can simulate surgical procedures in a virtual setting, and students of history can use augmented reality apps to learn about historical events.

**(5) Active Learning Spaces and Collaborative Learning:**

(a) Redesigned classrooms with flexible seating arrangements and interactive technology support active learning. These spaces encourage collaboration, discussion, and group projects.

(b) Collaborative learning emphasizes teamwork, problem-solving, and communication skills. Group projects and peer teaching are common components, fostering a sense of community and shared responsibility among students.

**(6) Microcredentials and Digital Badging:**

(a) Microcredentials are short, focused learning programs that provide specific skills and knowledge. They are often offered as certificates, badges, or digital credentials.

(b) These microcredentials allow learners to acquire targeted skills relevant to their careers or interests without committing to full-degree programs. They also enable professionals to continuously upskill or reskill in response to changing industry demands.

These innovations in the teaching-learning area of higher education institutions are transforming the way education is delivered and experienced. They address diverse learning styles, facilitate global access to knowledge, and prepare students for the demands of a rapidly changing world. As technology and pedagogical research continue to advance, universities and institutions worldwide will likely continue to embrace innovative approaches to education, ensuring that students receive the best possible learning experiences.

## 3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE :

### 3.1 Review existing literature on digital pedagogies, faculty development, and educator empowerment:

**Table 1:** Review of some scholarly published papers in the area of digital pedagogies

| S. No. | Area/ Topic | Focus and Outcome | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Digital technologies and pedagogies | This paper delves into the impact of digital technologies on modern classrooms. It explores the shift from classrooms merely delivering information to becoming hubs of active exploration and creative expression. These new digital tools are enabling students to take on roles as researchers, storytellers, historians, oral historians, and cultural theorists in their own unique ways. Whether they're crafting personal narratives or deciphering the stories of others, the digital medium is fundamentally altering students' ability to combine, interpret, theorize, and generate fresh insights into cultural and historical matters. | Weis, T. M., et al. (2002). [7] |
| 2 | Philosophies of digital pedagogy | The discussions within this publication highlight the enduring relevance of philosophy and educational theory in addressing practical, sometimes seemingly 'technical' matters. This serves as a reminder of longstanding issues that have been consistently brought up. | Lewin, D., & Lundie, D. (2016). [8] |
| 3 | Model for digital pedagogy | The results indicate three key points. Firstly, in numerous instances, the pedagogical approach is described as socio-constructivist and student-focused. Secondly, pedagogical strategies encompass the techniques employed to enhance student learning, including collaborative and social knowledge-building methods. Lastly, aside from technological, pedagogical, and subject matter expertise, educators' effectiveness in integrating digital technologies into their teaching is enhanced by their high self-confidence and robust peer collaboration abilities. | Väätäjä, J. O., & Ruokamo, H. (2021). [9] |
| 4 | Critical examination of initial digital pedagogy adoption | The authors engage in a thorough analysis of the conflicts and inconsistencies present within and among interconnected systems, including the educational system, educational policies, and at-home learning. They investigate how various stakeholders - teachers, parents, and policymakers - perceived and implemented remote digital pedagogy. Conflicts emerged as a result of disparities between digital pedagogy, system regulations, and teachers' digital competencies, resulting in diverse student experiences. This shift also brought changes in the distribution of responsibilities, with parents taking on a more substantial role in overseeing their children's learning. | Greenhow, C., (2021). [10] |
| 5 | Digital pedagogy – Experience of Implementation | This paragraph outlines the exploration of the structural and content-related aspects of digital pedagogy. These characteristics encompass content-driven, environmental, technological, and | Toktarova, V. I., & Semenova, D. A. (2020). [11] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | competence-oriented components, which have been examined through research methods such as content analysis, discursive analysis, and the synthesis of contemporary digital technology concepts. The article underscores the importance of digital transformation in education, emphasizing its role in crafting unconventional solutions to traditional pedagogical challenges and in shaping and advancing innovative learning processes rooted in artificial intelligence, big data, and distributed computing. | |
| 6 | Improving Student Teachers Digital Pedagogy | This investigation delves into the characteristics of meaningful learning experiences that student teachers identify as instrumental in enhancing their digital pedagogical skills. It focuses on how these meaningful learning activities equip student teachers to effectively incorporate digital technologies into their forthcoming teaching endeavours. | Sailin, S. N., & Mahmor, N. A. (2018). [12] |
| 7 | Prepare teachers for the digital generation | There is an immediate need to integrate digital pedagogy into the training of these educators, enabling them to understand the significance of technology in the intersection of pedagogy and subject matter knowledge (TPACK). Fortunately, a diverse array of applications can be readily employed on devices like iPads, Androids, eTablets, and smartphones to facilitate pedagogical instruction. | Kivunja, C. (2013). [13] |
| 8 | Digital Pedagogy for Sustainable Learning | This paper concentrates on exploring the impact and advantages of digital pedagogy in the context of sustainable learning. The multifaceted nature that underpins the evolving concept of sustainable learning provides an effective platform for fostering interdisciplinary collaboration and networking. The attainment of common objectives, shared values, and sustainable means becomes a formidable challenge until there is swift progress in data innovation, information technology, digital learning, global data access, information communication technologies (ICT), and the development of self-organized socio-technical networks. Additionally, there is a fresh avenue for innovation known as 'Susthingsout,' which encompasses creative pedagogy, an improved teaching platform, and enhanced e-magazines and virtual e-learning platforms. | Nanjundaswamy, C. (2021). [14] |
| 9 | Two decades of digital pedagogies in the performing arts | In this article, we present a comprehensive examination of digital teaching methods within the realm of performing arts, specifically focusing on theater and performance while also drawing insights from the domains of dance and music. We categorize three distinct teaching paradigms incorporating technology: the minimalist approach, the blended approach, and the fully online approach. Within the blended approach, we | Wake, C. (2018). [15] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | distinguish three subtypes, each with technology serving as either a supplementary tool, an organizational framework, or a foundational support structure. We conclude by addressing contemporary challenges, such as resistance to digital adoption, cost considerations, issues of equity, and the imperative of embracing diversity. | |
| 10 | Mapping of digital pedagogies in higher education | This study reveals the significant evolution of digital pedagogy in the past two decades while underscoring its continued relevance in contemporary education. The paper also paves the way for future research avenues, particularly in the exploration of adaptable and versatile pedagogical approaches capable of meeting diverse educational needs and scenarios. | Santoveña-Casal, S., et al. (2023). [16] |
| 11 | The Importance of Using Digital Pedagogy | The article delves into the significance of digital pedagogy in upholding the quality of higher education, exploring key elements that contribute to its effectiveness. It scrutinizes factors that have a positive impact on educational quality and delves into the nuances of implementing both traditional and digital pedagogical methods within the learning environment. | Mashrabovich, A. A. (2022). [17] |
| 12 | From traditional pedagogy to digital pedagogy | The horizon of educational technology is currently aglow with promise, thanks to the proliferation of devices such as smartphones, tablets, and netbooks. This sudden proliferation has granted most students and faculty access to robust computing tools, thereby opening the door to innovative teaching and learning methodologies. Consequently, a growing number of educators are departing from conventional instructional methods, which primarily involve the one-way transfer of knowledge from instructors to students, in favour of cutting-edge strategies that empower students to actively construct their own learning experiences. | Blewett, C. (2016). [18] |
| 13 | Examining the perspective of teaching digital pedagogy | This study revolves around pedagogical technology, a method that explores how teachers employ technological innovations to enhance their instructional roles. Additionally, pedagogy aids teachers in transitioning into facilitators of student learning, leveraging available technological resources to enhance educational achievements. Furthermore, it fosters the development of students' potential, even when faced with learning challenges brought on by pandemics. | Harahap, S. D., et al. (2022). [19] |
| 14 | A collaborative digital pedagogy experience in the tMOOC | This study examined social MOOCs (sMOOCs) characterized by participant engagement and interactive dynamics within an intercreative model, all with the ultimate goal of transferring knowledge through an efficient replication process. The research specifically investigated the sMOOC called "Step by Step" within the European Commission-funded Elearning, Communication, and Open-data (ECO) Project. This sMOOC is | Marta-Lazo, C., et al. (2019). [20] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | designed to create and implement an innovative pedagogical model for training e-teachers, targeting a particular academic community and equipping learners with digital competencies to prepare them for roles as e-teachers. | |
| 15 | Digital education and learning | The analysis leads to the observation that the field of education has seen a substantial influx of technology in recent times. This trend has given rise to various concepts, sectors, and domains, such as Educational Technology, E-Learning, Online Education, and Blended Learning. Taken together, these developments can be collectively referred to as Digital Education or Digital Learning. | Paul, P., et al. (2018). [21]. |
| 16 | Impact of on-line education on higher education system | Explored in this discussion is the online education system, regarded as the next-generation educational approach, and its profound influence on the advancement of science and society within the realm of higher education. The various models of online education and their significance are examined, with a comprehensive analysis of the advantages, benefits, limitations, and drawbacks associated with online education systems. Additionally, we delve into the attributes of specific online platforms, illustrating this through examples like edX, Alison, NPTEL, and UZity. To cap it off, we draw a comparison between the online education system and an idealized hypothetical educational system. | Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [22] |

**Table 2:** Review of some scholarly published papers in the area of faculty development

| S. No. | Area/ Topic | Focus and Outcome | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Rethinking faculty development | The survey findings regarding the Faculty Development Program's outcomes unmistakably reveal a transformation in the collaborative practices of interdisciplinary faculty. Furthermore, it has notably enhanced the faculty's capacity to effectively tackle their specific technological development requirements. | Camblin Jr, L. D., & Steger, J. A. (2000). [23] |
| 2 | Professional development in higher education | This segment examines the obstacles confronting faculty members in higher education and elucidates how developmental initiatives that acknowledge faculty as adult learners within a learning-centric institution can yield a more fruitful educational journey for both educators and their students. | Brancato, V. C. (2003). [24] |
| 3 | The future of faculty development | The authors draw attention to emerging innovations in faculty development, considering the evolving landscape and challenges faced by higher education institutions. They also delve into further considerations regarding the structures and processes within faculty development practices that require focus, particularly in light of the pressing issues within the field as a profession. | Austin, A. E., & Sorcinelli, M. D. (2013). [25] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Teaching science in higher education | For this study, faculty members participating in the program were chosen from 30 different institutions. Data collection and analysis were conducted using ethnographic and case study methods. It was observed that a number of faculty members in this study held perspectives on the change process that posed obstacles to successful implementation. The findings of this research offer a predictive model aimed at supporting faculty in their transformation and identifying effective faculty professional development strategies that can effectively address impediments to change in undergraduate science classrooms. | Sunal, D. W., (2001). [26] |
| 5 | Components of an effective faculty development program | It is recommended to adopt a holistic approach to faculty development, encompassing the creation of innovative evaluation and diagnostic techniques, the exploration of effective methods for integrating new technology and curricula, and the pursuit of fresh strategies for enhancing teaching. In this context, it is crucial for faculty development to consider the profound effects of change on both the individual faculty member and the institution as a whole. Consequently, personal and organizational development becomes an integral aspect of faculty development. Only through this comprehensive approach can endeavors for improvement achieve enduring and meaningful results. | Bergquist, W. H., & Phillips, S. R. (1975). [27] |
| 6 | The professional development of teachers in higher education | This paper elucidates the underlying reasoning guiding the procedures and techniques applied in professional development programs. It also explores the insights gained from this amalgamation of experiences, shedding light on how to effectively bolster the professional growth of higher education teachers. While these programs primarily target the initial training of educators, it's noteworthy that many of the employed processes are equally applicable to ongoing professional development. | Beaty, L. (1998). [28] |
| 7 | Role of faculty development programs in improving teaching and learning | This review article provides a concise overview of literature reviews and resource books related to faculty development. It delves into the significance of Faculty Development Programs (FDP), traces their historical evolution, and raises inquiries about their impact on students' academic performance. Additionally, it examines various approaches for assessing the effectiveness of FDPs. | Kamel, A. M. (2016). [29] |
| 8 | Mentoring strategies for faculty development | This article centers on the deliberate use of peer mentoring as a strategy to enhance instruction and introduces the concept of mutual mentoring. It entails faculty mentors collaborating with mentees seeking support in the creation and application of innovative teaching materials or methodologies, | Harnish, D., & Wild, L. A. (1994). [30] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | as well as acquiring fresh knowledge. The article also explores the effects of cross-disciplinary mentoring and the influence of mentoring on the teaching and professional development of both novice and seasoned faculty members. | |
| 9 | Co-Teaching in Higher Education | Mentoring relationships offer a highly effective means of fostering the growth and professional development of individuals across various fields. When employed as a framework for professional growth, a mentoring model grounded in co-teaching can significantly enhance teaching proficiency and academic advancement for both faculty members and graduate students in higher education. Successfully integrating co-teaching methods into the higher education mentoring context necessitates careful consideration of several elements, such as a thorough grasp of the model, the joint formulation of a teaching plan, and the continuous cultivation of a collaborative partnership. The co-teaching experience, aimed at creating learning opportunities, has the potential to strengthen mentoring relationships, cultivate more proficient faculty members, enrich students' educational journeys, and empower all involved to become more adept and independent learners in the 21st century. | Cordie, L. A., et al (2020). [31] |
| 10 | Models of faculty development for problem-based learning | A holistic faculty development approach, informed by the higher education literature, encompasses several key elements: instructional refinement, professional growth, leadership enhancement, and organizational development. Research findings within the faculty development domain affirm the beneficial outcomes of these endeavors. For example, educators exploring problem-based learning tend to traverse well-defined stages of development, commencing with understanding and embracing the rationale behind this approach. They subsequently gain both general and content-specific tutor knowledge and skills, progress to advanced competencies in problem-based learning, and ultimately foster leadership and scholarly capabilities. | Irby, D. M. (1996). [32] |
| 11 | Assessing faculty professional development in STEM higher education | The authors assessed the efficacy of the Faculty Institutes for Reforming Science Teaching IV (FIRST), a professional development initiative designed for postdoctoral scholars. They conducted a study involving program alumni to gauge its effectiveness. While professional development programs for faculty play a vital role in enhancing STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) teaching and learning, there is a notable dearth of dependable evidence regarding the long-term effects of these initiatives. | Derting, T. L., et. Al. (2016). [33] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Faculty development: yesterday, today and tomorrow | This paper is crafted to offer guidance to individuals responsible for equipping faculty members for the diverse teaching and educational responsibilities in medical and allied health science education. It furnishes a historical context for faculty development and draws from the extensive body of medical, health science, and higher education literature to introduce several frameworks that can be customized for the creation of faculty development programs. These frameworks are invaluable tools for faculty developers, allowing them to methodically blueprint, execute, and assess their staff development initiatives. | McLean, M., et. Al. (2008). [34] |
| 13 | Faculty empowerment strategies in higher education institutions | The paper has pinpointed a range of faculty empowerment strategies that should be considered for enhancing the quality of higher education institutions in the context of a comprehensive performance management system founded on 360º appraisal. | Aithal, P. S. (2015). [35] |
| 14 | Maintaining teacher quality in higher education institutions | This paper examined the strategies employed by Srinivas Institute of Management Studies, Mangalore, in organizing and managing its human resources to address the evolving needs of the curriculum, students, and the learning environment, all while confronting the challenges of time. The study also delved into the institution's approaches for elevating the quality of its teaching staff. | Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). [36] |
| 15 | Impact of on-line education on higher education system | This paper explores the online education system as a cutting-edge educational paradigm and its influence on the advancement of science and society within higher education. It delves into various models of online education, discussing their significance, and offers a comprehensive analysis of the pros, benefits, limitations, and drawbacks associated with online education systems. Furthermore, the paper scrutinizes the features of online platforms by examining prominent online education models like edX, Alison, NPTEL, and UZity. Finally, it draws a comparison between the online education system and an idealized hypothetical educational framework called the "Ideal Education System." | Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [37] |

**Table 3:** Review of some scholarly published papers in the area of educator empowerment

| S. No. | Area/ Topic | Focus and Outcome | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Influence of empowerment on teachers' organizational behaviours | A descriptive and regression study was carried out to ascertain the impact of empowerment on the organizational behaviors of 215 educators within Catholic Higher Education Institutions in the Philippines. The findings indicate that Catholic teachers exhibit considerable levels of empowerment. More precisely, they manifest | Tindowen, D. J. (2019). [38] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | exceptionally elevated levels of status, professional development, self-efficacy, and influence, along with substantial levels of decision-making autonomy in their scheduling. | |
| 2 | Embracing the future: empowering the 21st century educator | In this conceptual paper, it is established that the global educational landscape is undergoing a transition from the industrial age to the connected age, primarily driven by the proliferation of Web 2.0 applications. Human nature, as inherently social, compels us to seek connections, exchange ideas, share knowledge, remix content, and reinvent concepts—all of which can now be effortlessly accomplished with the aid of technology, often in the company of a few friends. | Franklin, T. J. (2015). [39] |
| 3 | Measurement of teacher empowerment | Teaching performance among educators pertains to their accomplishments in designing, executing, and assessing educational initiatives. This study adopts a quantitative methodology, with a specific focus on two key variables: professional ethics (X) and the teaching performance of teachers (Y). | Kusumaningrum, D. E., [40] |
| 4 | Empowerment: Teacher Perceptions, Aspirations and Efficacy | This paper investigates the alignment between teachers' perceived and desired levels of shared decision-making and their self-efficacy, a pivotal element in the process of reshaping the education system. | Enderlin-Lampe, S. (2002). [41] |
| 5 | Leveraging social media and scholarly discussion for educator empowerment | This paper offers insights from a global community of educators who have harnessed the power of social media to create a virtual platform for their scholarly reading group, known as #edureading. The narratives shared by these educators highlight the utilization of social networks on platforms like Twitter and Flipgrid as inclusive spaces for teacher-led professional development. | Kolber, S., et al. (2021). [42] |
| 6 | Catalysing change in higher education for sustainable development | ESD has gained global prominence and recognition, particularly within the realm of higher education, where activity in this field has notably expanded. Higher education is increasingly perceived as a potent catalyst for societal transformation, given its role in preparing future professionals and leaders across various sectors. Nonetheless, universities currently face challenges in seamlessly integrating ESD into mainstream teaching practices and faculty training. Integrating ESD into the institutional teaching and learning priorities of universities also presents challenges. A significant proportion of ESD efforts focus on addressing teaching challenges emerging from sustainable development research and providing specialized sustainability modules or courses. Moreover, only a handful of countries and institutions have established substantial staff development initiatives aimed at enhancing the ESD | Mulà, I., et. al. (2017). [43] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | capabilities of university educators and nurturing their academic leadership roles in ESD. | |
| 7 | Empowerment or limitation of the teachers' rights and abilities in the prevailing digital environment | The findings indicate that respondents generally hold favorable views regarding learning in virtual environments. The benefits of e-learning are multifaceted, and the analysis revealed that specific factors, such as "age," exhibit statistically significant differences. Conversely, "gender" and "teaching experience" were not found to be influential factors in evaluating the four variables provided. | Toktamysov, S., et al. (2021). [44] |
| 8 | From a Guided Teacher into Leader | The research demonstrates that engagement in the TSPD course facilitated teachers in forming a cohesive community with shared objectives. It boosted their self-assurance, enhanced their effectiveness in the classroom, and amplified their capacity to serve as leaders in the realm of education. | Abramovich, A., & Miedijensky, S. (2019). [45] |
| 9 | Raising teacher's empowerment in gamification design of adaptive learning systems | The findings indicate that teachers perceived certain aspects of gamification elements, such as missions and levels, as useful and relevant for grasping students' interactions and progress. However, the visualization of students' engagement with trophies was not regarded as relevant. Furthermore, teachers expressed a high degree of appreciation for the creation of personalized missions, especially for demotivated students, to enhance their involvement and help them attain their educational objectives. As a result, this study offers valuable insights to inform the design and refinement of gamified adaptive learning systems. | Tenório, K., et al. (2020). [46] |
| 10 | Empowering the frontline | The paper examines six sub-dimensions of empowerment, namely: a) decision-making, b) professional growth, c) a supportive culture, d) self-efficacy, e) work autonomy, and f) utilization of performance data. It underscores the vital role of responsive leadership in promoting empowerment while also highlighting that other organizational factors, including organizational type, structure, size, and past performance, account for substantial variations in empowerment. | Kang, M. M., Park, S., & Sorensen, L. C. (2022). [47] |
| 11 | Empowering university educators for contemporary open and networked teaching | This paper outlines six areas of competence that are of particular significance: personal data management, the ability to harness the open web, intercultural digital dialogues, a discerning perspective on media, ethical considerations in the digital realm, and ensuring accessibility. These competences are progressively gaining importance for educators, enabling them to effectively involve learners in the fundamental aspects of our digitally-connected and open societies. They guide learners in collaborative, | Nascimbeni, F. (2020). [48] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | open approaches to address the emerging challenges of our contemporary era. | |
| 12 | Teaching as social influence | The research findings suggest that when teachers embrace the role of mentors over that of gatekeepers and prioritize the development of their students' knowledge, they have the potential to foster deep learning, long-term educational growth, and equitable treatment. This perspective could inform the design of teacher training programs and school reform initiatives, with the aim of fully unlocking the learning potential of every student and empowering teachers to act as catalysts for significant individual and societal transformations. | Butera, F., et al. (2021). [49] |
| 13 | Effect of demographic variables of university teachers on their perceived teacher empowerment | The study identifies six facets of empowerment, namely "Decision Making, Professional Growth, Status, Self-Efficacy, Autonomy, and Impact." The data analysis involved the use of descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, encompassing mean calculations, t-tests, correlations, and ANOVA. The findings from the research indicate that teachers exhibit a notably high perception of empowerment. It is worth noting that variations in mean scores were observed across different demographic variables, with the exception of qualification. | Rafique, A., & Akhtar, M. M. S. (2020). [50] |

### 3.2 Research gaps, contradictions, and areas where further research is needed:

With the introduction of digital pedagogies and faculty development techniques, the area of education has seen a tremendous transformation recently. These developments could improve teaching and learning results, empower teachers, and better prepare students for the challenges of the twenty-first century. To ensure the successful use of these tactics, further research is essential in areas where there are research gaps, contradictions, and inconsistencies.

Regarding the long-term effects of digital pedagogies on teachers and students alike, there is a considerable study void. There is little research that explores the long-term effects of these instructional approaches, despite a growing corpus of literature suggesting short-term benefits including greater engagement and access to resources. It is necessary to conduct ongoing research on the academic achievement and employability of students who have been exposed to digital pedagogies, as well as the career development of educators who have used digital resources extensively. Such research would provide light on whether these tactics actually empower teachers and equip pupils for success in a world that is becoming more and more digital.

Further research is also needed to resolve contradictions in the published literature. According to some studies, digital pedagogies can improve the educational experience for students with different learning preferences, while detractors contend that these tactics may worsen educational disparities by pushing some students farther behind. It is crucial to investigate the conditions in which digital pedagogies work best and if they create or lessen educational gaps. The role of educator assistance and training in resolving these conflicts should also be the subject of investigation, as faculty development techniques may be essential to guaranteeing equitable results.

Further study is required in the field of how to incorporate digital pedagogies into various educational situations. From elementary and secondary schools to higher education, various educational levels may call for specialized strategies. The success of digital tactics is also influenced by cultural and contextual factors. To ensure that educators are empowered in a way that is sensitive to their particular needs and problems, research should attempt to identify best practices for the integration of digital pedagogies across varied contexts, including rural and urban locations.

Another important area for research is how faculty development initiatives help educators feel more empowered. The most efficient ways to teach and assist educators in implementing digital pedagogies must be determined. Investigating the effects of different professional development programs, internet tools, mentoring methods, and cooperative learning communities is part of this. The relationship between faculty development and educator motivation should also be the subject of research, as motivated teachers are more willing to adopt digital strategies and innovative teaching techniques.
Additionally, further research is still needed on how digital pedagogies interact with cutting-edge technologies like augmented reality, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence. Although there has been little research on how to efficiently harness these technologies' power, there is a great potential for them to improve education. To fully comprehend how these cutting-edge technologies may empower teachers and enhance student results, more research is required.
Though many research studies published on empowering educator by systematic and periodic training to cope the student engagement in the classroom, it has been found that the use of technology, especially, digital technology to develop digital pedagogy and use them effectively in higher education is still need further focus. Various digital pedagogies and training of their use through systematic faculty development programs are still required to familiarise and upgrade educators to use these advanced and effective tools and techniques with confidence to improve the quality of both classroom and online teaching-learning interactions.
Despite the enormous potential for empowering teachers that digital pedagogies and faculty development initiatives offer, there are still significant research gaps, inconsistencies, and uncharted territory that deserve our attention. Future research is necessary in order to fully understand long-term effects, the equitable use of digital techniques, contextual considerations, efficient faculty development, and future technology. In order to ensure that the transformation of education through digital means effectively empowers educators and helps students in a quickly changing digital ecosystem, it is important to address these gaps and contradictions.

### 3.3 Key theories and frameworks related to educator empowerment and digital pedagogies:

Understanding the key theories and frameworks related to educator empowerment and digital pedagogies is essential for designing effective strategies in the field of education. Table 4 describes some prominent theories and frameworks.

**Table 4:** Some prominent theories and frameworks on educator empowerment and digital pedagogies

| S. No. | Key Theories | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Constructivism and Social Constructivism | Constructivist theories, notably Jean Piaget's and Lev Vygotsky's work, emphasize the role of active learning and social interaction in knowledge acquisition. In the context of digital pedagogies, these theories underpin the idea that technology can facilitate active learning and collaborative knowledge construction. Educators can empower students by creating digital environments that encourage exploration, problem-solving, and interaction, aligning with constructivist principles [51]. |
| 2 | TPACK Framework | The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, developed by Mishra and Koehler, emphasizes the integration of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge. Educators are empowered when they possess a deep understanding of how to effectively blend technology into their teaching practices while considering subject-specific content and pedagogical strategies. This framework provides guidance on the skills and knowledge required for successful digital pedagogies [52]. |
| 3 | SAMR Model | Dr. Ruben Puentedura's Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition (SAMR) model provides a framework for classifying how technology affects teaching and learning. It motivates educators to pursue more transformative strategies as opposed to merely replacing conventional methods with digital ones. As educators advance through |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | the SAMR levels, from improving current practices to reimagining learning experiences through technology, empowerment takes place [53]. |
| 4 | Community of Inquiry (CoI) Framework | The CoI paradigm by Garrison, Anderson, and Archer proposes that effective learning happens when there is a balance of three presences: cognitive, social, and teaching, in the context of online and blended learning. Creating a sense of presence and involvement among students is necessary for educator empowerment in digital environments. This paradigm directs educators in encouraging fruitful online conversations, insightful debate, and group knowledge creation [54]. |
| 5 | Andragogy and Heutagogy | According to the andragogy hypothesis of Malcolm Knowles, adults should be self-directed learners since they learn differently than children. This idea is expanded upon by heutagogy, which emphasizes self-directed learning. These theories imply that empowering educators involves recognizing their autonomy and supporting their ability to design and manage their learning experiences, which is especially relevant in the context of faculty development strategies [55]. |

Understanding these theories and frameworks provides a strong foundation for educators and institutions seeking to empower educators through digital pedagogies and faculty development strategies. By applying these concepts thoughtfully, educators can harness the potential of technology to create more effective and engaging learning experiences, ultimately benefiting both educators and students in the digital age.

## 4. OBJECTIVES OF THE PAPER :

(1) Conduct a comprehensive examination of recent innovations in teaching and learning within higher education institutions (HEIs), encompassing universities on a global scale.
(2) Investigate, analyze, and propose strategies for empowering faculty members as effective mentors across diverse Higher Education Institutions, including universities worldwide.
(3) Identify, assess, and critically evaluate a range of strategies employed globally to empower mentors within the higher education landscape.
(4) Thoroughly describe, analyze, and evaluate various digital pedagogical approaches, specifically designed for enhancing classroom teaching within Higher Education Institutions, including universities worldwide.
(5) Delve into the description, analysis, and evaluation of diverse digital pedagogical methods tailored for effective online teaching and blended teaching within Higher Education Institutions, including universities on a global scale.
(6) Compare conventional non-digital pedagogies with futuristic digital pedagogies.
(7) Examine and categorize a spectrum of faculty development strategies utilized in the contexts of classroom teaching, online teaching, and research & publications within Higher Education Institutions, encompassing universities worldwide.
(8) Employ theoretical frameworks to systematically analyze, evaluate, and interpret enhancements in faculty efficiency and annual productivity within the realm of Higher Education Institutions, while drawing upon global perspectives.
(9) Synthesize postulates and practical suggestions derived from the findings of this exploratory research, aimed at empowering educators through the strategic integration of digital pedagogies and faculty development initiatives within Higher Education Institutions, including universities worldwide.

## 5. METHODOLOGY & INFORMATION COLLECTION :

Exploratory research methodology is used. Exploratory research is typically conducted to gain a deeper understanding of a topic, generate hypotheses, and gather preliminary data before embarking on a full-scale research project. Information are collected using various online sources through Google search engine, Google Scholar search engine, and Various GPTs including ChatGPT and Bard. The information are analysed, evaluated and interpreted as per specific framework to develop the postulates and provide suggestions to improve the system.

## 6. ANALYSIS OF EMPOWERING MENTORS IN HIGHER EDUCATION :

In the realm of higher education, empowering educators is a paramount objective that directly influences the quality of teaching, learning, and academic innovation within universities worldwide. This comprehensive analysis seeks to explore the multifaceted dimensions of empowering educators in higher education institutions, focusing on the global context. To achieve this, we will delve into the various facets of educator empowerment, the challenges faced, the strategies employed, and the outcomes of these efforts.

### 6.1 Analysis:

(1) The Importance of Educator Empowerment: By providing students with the knowledge, skills, and critical thinking abilities, educators in higher education institutions play a crucial role in determining the destiny of society. In this context, empowerment refers to the process of giving educators the skills, information, and support they need to flourish in their positions, adjust to shifting educational environments, and continuously improve their instructional methods. Empowered teachers are better able to satisfy the many needs of their pupils, encourage innovation, and promote knowledge in a quickly globalizing environment where technology and educational paradigms are always changing.
(2) Obstacles to Educator Empowerment: There are obstacles to empowering educators in institutions of higher learning. These difficulties varied among areas and institutions, but they frequently include a lack of funding for faculty development programs, reluctance to change, and a mismatch between conventional academic structures and modern expectations. Additionally, attempts to empower instructors may be hampered by the tenure and promotion structures that are common in academia, which occasionally favour research production over excellent instruction.
(3) Techniques for Educator Empowerment: Effective methods for empowering teachers at higher education institutions span from professional development programs to the use of technology to the promotion of a collaborative and innovative culture. Education professionals receive the knowledge and skills they need to improve their teaching methods through faculty development programs, which include workshops, mentoring, and peer learning communities. Digital pedagogies, such as flipped classrooms, blended learning, and online learning platforms, give teachers the tools they need to engage students in more dynamic and individualized ways. Additionally, promoting a culture of innovation and cooperation motivates educators to exchange best practices, try out novel teaching techniques, and contribute to the field of teaching and learning studies.
(4) International Viewpoints on Educator Empowerment: Due to regional, cultural, and contextual variations, there are discrepancies in the empowerment of educators around the world. Faculty development programs and innovative pedagogy are highly valued in many Western nations. Institutions in the United States, for instance, frequently provide tenure-track academics with opportunity to engage in teaching-related scholarship in addition to typical research obligations. On the other hand, certain poor nations provide difficulties for educators in terms of resources and access to technology, which may prevent the adoption of digital pedagogies. In these situations, empowering educators may entail overcoming resource limitations and advancing inclusive, technologically enhanced education.

### 6.2 Evaluation:

(1) Measuring the Impact of Educator Empowerment: Evaluating the impact of initiatives to empower educators is a challenging endeavour because the results might be complicated and take some time to manifest fully. Student feedback, increased learning outcomes, and educator satisfaction are some common evaluation criteria. These metrics, though, might not fully reflect the range of transformations brought about by educator empowerment. The quality of research output connected to teaching, the ability of educators to adapt to changing educational technology, and the impact on institutional culture should all be taken into account in long-term evaluations of faculty development programs and the incorporation of digital pedagogies.
(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: Although the value of empowering educators is widely acknowledged, putting good ideas into practice on a global level is difficult. Some educators may not have access to opportunities for faculty development and digital infrastructure due to resource differences across institutions and nations. Additionally, institutional norms and cultural aspects may have an impact on how open educators are to change and innovation. As a result, it is

crucial to adapt empowerment techniques to the unique requirements and environment of each institution while taking into account more general worldwide trends.

(3) The Empowerment of Educators: Across the board, technology has a big impact on empowering educators. The digital era has brought about cutting-edge platforms and tools that improve teaching and learning. But there are big regional differences in how these technologies are being adopted. Some colleges may struggle with poor connectivity and access, while others may benefit from robust digital infrastructures and a multitude of online resources. International partnerships and collaborations can promote knowledge transfer and technology transfer in order to close this gap, giving instructors in institutions with limited resources access to digital pedagogies.

(4) Educator empowerment in higher education: Higher education's environment is always changing as a result of technological breakthroughs, shifting student demographics, and societal demands. In this setting, even greater incorporation of digital pedagogies, more advanced online learning environments, and a larger focus on the improvement of educators' digital literacy abilities are all likely to be part of the future of educator empowerment. The post-pandemic era, which has seen a rise in online and hybrid teaching and learning, will also require universities all over the world to adapt. This change emphasizes the value of continual faculty development and the requirement that educators be responsive to and flexible to shifting educational paradigms.

As a result, empowering faculty members in higher education institutions is a universal necessity with significant ramifications for the standard and value of education. Although there are difficulties, the methods used to support educators, such as faculty development programs and the incorporation of digital pedagogies, offer optimistic avenues forward. In order to ensure that educators are adequately prepared to address the changing demands of students and societies in the 21st century, it is essential for institutions, policymakers, and educational stakeholders to work together and engage in these initiatives. Universities may enhance knowledge, innovation, and international progress in this way.

## 7. STRATEGIES OF EMPOWERING MENTORS IN HIGHER EDUCATION :

Mentors, who are frequently faculty members, play a crucial part in influencing students' academic and professional development in higher education. A key component of creating a positive learning environment in colleges all around the world is empowering mentors. This thorough analysis tries to investigate the various facets of mentor empowerment initiatives while taking the larger context into account. We will examine many dimensions of mentor empowerment, difficulties encountered, methods used, and the results of these efforts.

Empowering educators in higher education institutions, including universities, is crucial for enhancing the quality of education and fostering a positive learning environment. The table 5 contains a list of various strategies to empower educators:

**Table 5:** Various strategies used in HEIs to empower Educators

| S. No. | Key Strategies | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Continuous Faculty Development (CFDP) | Give educators continual opportunities for training and development so they may stay up to date in their disciplines, update their teaching techniques, and adopt new pedagogical approaches. |
| 2 | Peer Mentoring: | Establish peer mentoring programs in which seasoned teachers assist and guide junior or inexperienced faculty members. |
| 3 | Faculty Development Centers: | Create centers for faculty development that provide materials, training, and assistance to improve research, teaching, and academic leadership abilities. |
| 4 | Encourage Research | Motivate teachers to conduct research and offer incentives to support their academic endeavours, such research grants. |
| 5 | Teaching Workshops | Plan seminars on technology integration in the classroom, efficient teaching methods, and classroom administration. |
| 6 | Technology Integration through | By offering instruction on the use of educational technology tools, platforms, and pedagogies, you may encourage the |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | training them in various digital pedagogies | incorporation of technology into the teaching and learning process. |
| 7 | Inclusive Teaching Practices | Encourage the use of inclusive teaching methods by providing instruction on how to create inclusive, diverse classrooms. |
| 8 | Flexible Course Design | Encourage instructors to create courses—including those offered in online and hybrid formats—that are adaptive, flexible, and sensitive to the varied needs of their students. |
| 9 | Curriculum Development | Involve teachers in the creation and updating of curricula to make sure that they meet the demands of both the labour market and pupils. |
| 10 | Student Feedback | Make sure there is a two-way communication channel by gathering, evaluating, and using student input for faculty development. |
| 11 | Recognition and Rewards | Reward and honour instructors who have made exceptional contributions to the university community, research, and teaching. |
| 12 | Faculty Leadership Opportunities | Give teachers the chance to assume leadership positions in committees, academic departments, or university governance. |
| 13 | Interdisciplinary Collaboration: | To promote creativity and comprehensive learning experiences, instructors should be encouraged to collaborate across disciplines. |
| 14 | Community Engagement: | Encourage educators to participate in collaborations, outreach initiatives, and service-learning programs that foster community engagement. |
| 15 | Research Support: | Provide assistance with grant writing, research facility access, and scholarly engagement in order to support research efforts. |
| 16 | Well-being Programs: | Encourage educators to participate in physical and mental health programs to help them cope with stress and have a good work-life balance. |
| 17 | Feedback Mechanisms: | Provide educators with channels for providing feedback so they can offer ideas and suggestions for institutional enhancements and procedures. |
| 18 | Resources and Infrastructure: | Ascertain that instructors have access to the tools, facilities, and resources required for efficient instruction and research. |
| 19 | Assessment Tools: | Give teachers the instruments and processes for assessment so they may assess and enhance the caliber of their instruction and the learning objectives of their students. |
| 20 | Collaborative Learning Communities: | Establish communities of practice where educators can exchange difficulties, best practices, and experiences to promote a sense of community. |

By implementing these strategies, higher education institutions can empower their educators, leading to improved teaching and learning experiences for students and a stronger academic community. Out of the above twenty identified strategies of empowerment of educators, continuous faculty development (CFD) and Technology Integration through training them in various digital pedagogies are discussed further.

### 7.1 Analysis:

(1) The Importance of Mentor Empowerment: In higher education institutions, mentors—typically faculty members—act as mentors, advisors, and role models for students. Beyond educating students about certain subjects, they also foster critical thinking abilities, job aspirations, and personal development. It is crucial to empower mentors because it improves their capacity to offer their mentees

appropriate guidance and assistance, which improves student outcomes, career readiness, and overall academic achievement.

(2) Obstacles to Mentor Empowerment: Different regions and institutions face different obstacles when it comes to empowering mentors in higher education institutions. Common challenges include a lack of time and money, competing roles and duties, and explicit incentives and recognition for mentoring. Mentoring can also be taxing, and mentors may find it challenging to adjust to shifting student demographics, requirements, and expectations. It's essential to overcome these obstacles if mentor empowerment is to be successful.

(3) Techniques for Mentor Empowerment: Effective mentor empowerment initiatives in higher education can take a variety of forms, from mentor development programs to the development of a mentoring-positive culture. Mentor training programs equip mentors with the abilities and information they need to do their jobs well. These courses include topics including intercultural communication and the dynamics of mentor-mentee relationships. Institutions can also promote a culture of mentoring by praising and rewarding successful mentors, developing mentorship networks, and offering continuous assistance and resources.

(4) International Viewpoints on Mentor Empowerment: Globally, there are different mentor empowerment tactics due to cultural, economic, and institutional variations. Mentorship is valued as a crucial component of higher education in many Western nations, where institutional programs and regulations are in place. For instance, teacher development programs that emphasize mentoring skills are frequently available in the United States. In contrast, mentoring may be less institutionalized and mentors may not have access to resources or expertise in some underdeveloped nations. Despite these variances, the fundamental ideas of good mentoring—guidance, support, and development—are constant and flexible enough to be applied in a variety of situations.

### 7.2 Evaluation:

(1) Measuring the Impact of Mentor Empowerment: In order to determine the effectiveness of mentor empowerment activities and to improve strategy, it is crucial to evaluate the impact of these programs. Academic achievement, employment success, and mentee satisfaction are important evaluation criteria. Though it may last longer than a student's academic career, evaluating the long-term effects of mentoring can be difficult. Institutions ought to think about conducting follow-up studies to monitor the professional progression and accomplishments of mentees who have benefited from empowered mentors.

(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: Although the value of empowering mentors is well acknowledged, it can be difficult to put successful techniques into practice on a worldwide level. Disparities in resources between institutions and nations may restrict faculty members' access to mentor training programs and support services. In addition, institutional norms and cultural aspects may have an impact on how ready faculty members are to take on mentorship responsibilities. Institutions may need to customize mentor empowerment initiatives to the unique requirements and settings of their faculty members in order to solve these problems, while also taking into account more general worldwide trends in higher education.

(3) The Role of Technology in Mentor Empowerment: Throughout the world, technology has a big impact on the empowerment of mentors. Communication, information sharing, and collaboration between mentors and mentees can be facilitated via online platforms and digital resources. Technology can also help mentors connect with mentees from a variety of backgrounds and locations. To guarantee that it enriches rather than detracts from the unique and important characteristics of mentorship relationships, technology integration in mentoring should be addressed carefully.

(4) Higher Education's Future of Mentor Empowerment: The environment of higher education is always changing due to shifting student demographics, technological advancements, and shifting pedagogical paradigms. The formalization of mentorship programs, increased acknowledgment of the importance of mentors, and incorporation of mentoring into faculty development activities are likely to be key components of the future of mentor empowerment. Additionally, mentor empowerment should be consistent with the overarching objectives of developing an inclusive, diverse, and equitable culture in higher education.

In conclusion, it is critical for higher education institutions to empower mentors (faculty members) in order to support student success, academic development, and professional readiness. Despite

obstacles, mentor empowerment measures including mentor training programs and the promotion of a mentorship culture present optimistic future directions. In order to ensure that mentors are properly prepared to lead and support students in the 21st century, it is critical for institutions, policymakers, and educational stakeholders to work together and engage in these efforts. Universities can promote knowledge, help students succeed, and improve the standard of higher education globally by doing this.

## 8. ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF DIGITAL PEDAGOGIES FOR CLASSROOM TEACHING :

The incorporation of digital pedagogies into classroom instruction has become a cornerstone of higher education institutions globally in the rapidly changing educational landscape of today. This in-depth investigation intends to investigate the varied aspects of digital pedagogies for classroom instruction from a worldwide perspective. We will explore several facets of digital pedagogies, their importance, the difficulties involved in implementing them, the methods used, and an assessment of their effects on universities and higher education institutions around the world.

### 8.1 Different Digital Pedagogies for Teaching in Classrooms:

Higher education institutions' use of digital pedagogies in the classroom has revolutionized how instructors interact with students and present material. A collection of numerous digital pedagogies is provided below, along with explanations in more detail:

**(1) Flipped Classroom:**

(a) Explanation: In a flipped classroom, traditional lectures are replaced by online videos or other readings that students autonomously review before class. The remainder of the class period is then devoted to debates, group projects, and active learning.

(b) Benefits: Encourages participation, student-centered learning, and a greater comprehension of the subject matter.

**(2) Blended Learning:**

(a) Explanation: Blended learning combines face-to-face instruction with online learning components. It offers flexibility for students to access content and engage in activities online while still participating in traditional classroom sessions.

(b) Benefits: Enhances access to resources, allows for differentiated instruction, and accommodates diverse learning styles.

**(3) Online Discussions and Forums:**

(a) Explanation: Online discussion boards and forums provide a platform for students to engage in asynchronous discussions, share ideas, and collaborate on assignments. Educators moderate and guide the discussions.

(b) Benefits: Encourages critical thinking, fosters peer interaction, and accommodates diverse schedules.

**(4) Collaborative Online Projects:**

(a) Explanation: Collaborative online projects involve students working together on assignments, presentations, or research using digital tools and platforms. They may collaborate in real-time or asynchronously.

(b) Benefits: Promotes teamwork, communication skills, and global collaboration opportunities.

**(5) Gamification:**

(a) Explanation: Gamification involves integrating game elements, such as points, badges, and leaderboards, into educational content. It makes learning more engaging and motivating for students.

(b) Benefits: Increases student motivation, participation, and retention of course material.

**(6) Virtual Labs and Simulations:**

(a) Explanation: Virtual labs and simulations provide realistic, interactive environments for students to conduct experiments and explore concepts. They are particularly useful in science and engineering disciplines.

(b) Benefits: Offers a safe and cost-effective way to conduct experiments, allows for repetition and experimentation, and accommodates remote learning.

**(7) Personalized Learning Platforms:**

Explanation: Personalized learning platforms use data analytics and artificial intelligence to tailor content and assignments to individual student needs and preferences. They adapt to students' learning progress.
Benefits: Enhances student engagement, addresses diverse learning needs, and promotes self-directed learning.

**(8) Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR):**

(a) Explanation: AR and VR technologies create immersive learning experiences. AR overlays digital content onto the real world, while VR transports users to virtual environments, both of which can be used for educational purposes.
(b) Benefits: Provides experiential learning opportunities, enhances understanding of complex topics, and fosters creativity.

**(9) Interactive Multimedia Content:**

(a) Explanation: Interactive multimedia content includes videos, simulations, quizzes, and interactive e-books that engage students and promote active learning.
(b) Benefits: Enhances content retention, supports visual and auditory learners, and allows for self-paced learning.

**(10) Peer Assessment and Feedback:**

(a) Explanation: Peer assessment involves students evaluating and providing feedback on their peers' work. Online platforms facilitate this process and may anonymize the assessment.
(b) Benefits: Encourages critical thinking, promotes self-assessment skills, and provides diverse feedback perspectives.

**(11) Social Media Integration:**

(a) Explanation: Incorporating social media platforms into the classroom enables discussions, information sharing, and collaboration beyond the confines of the course. Educators can create closed groups or hashtags for specific topics.
(b) Benefits: Encourages active participation, connects students with current events, and fosters networking opportunities.

**(12) E-portfolios:**

(a) Explanation: E-portfolios allow students to compile and showcase their work, achievements, and reflections throughout a course or program. They often include written reflections on learning experiences.
(b) Benefits: Promotes metacognition, encourages self-assessment, and provides a tangible record of skills and accomplishments.

Each of these digital pedagogies offers unique advantages and can be tailored to the specific learning objectives, content, and preferences of educators and students in higher education institutions worldwide. Implementing a combination of these pedagogical approaches can create a dynamic and engaging learning environment that supports diverse student needs and promotes academic success.

### 8.2 Analysis:

(1) Importance of Digital Pedagogies: Technology and digital tools are used to enhance classroom instruction through a variety of teaching and learning strategies known as Digital Pedagogies. Digital pedagogies are extremely important in higher education. They present chances for involving students in more interactive, individualized, and group learning experiences. Digital pedagogies can help students strengthen their critical thinking, problem-solving, and active learning skills. Additionally, they give pupils the fundamental technological and digital literacy skills they need to succeed in the digital age.
(2) Difficulties in Adopting Digital Pedagogies: The adoption of digital pedagogies in higher education is not without difficulties, despite its many advantages. Faculty members can run into opposition to change, a lack of technical knowledge, or doubts regarding the effectiveness of these techniques. Due to budget limitations, institutions are forced to spend money on infrastructure, teacher assistance, and training. Additionally, the digital gap can make educational disparities worse by limiting access to technology and digital skills.
(3) Techniques for Using Digital Pedagogies in Education Faculty development, the creation of technology infrastructure, and pedagogical innovation are all key components of successful

implementation methods for digital pedagogies. Faculty development programs give teachers the knowledge and assurance they need to integrate digital tools into their teaching methods. These initiatives should be ongoing and offer peer collaboration and mentoring possibilities. The digital infrastructure that institutions need to invest in includes strong Wi-Fi, learning management systems, and multimedia materials. To match with digital pedagogies like flipped classrooms, blended learning, and online debates, pedagogical innovation entails rethinking curriculum design and evaluation techniques.

(4) International Viewpoints on Digital Pedagogies Due to regional differences in culture, economy, and technology, different digital pedagogies are used in different parts of the world. Digital pedagogies are more prevalent and are being included into the curriculum in places with advanced technical infrastructure, such North America and Western Europe. However, in developing nations, a lack of digital resources and limited access to technology can impede wider adoption. International collaborations, technology partnerships, and open educational materials can make it easier to share information and transfer technology, giving instructors in institutions with fewer resources access to digital pedagogies.

### 8.3 Evaluation:

(1) Measuring the Impact of Digital Pedagogies: In order to determine their efficacy and improve tactics, it is crucial to gauge the impact of digital pedagogies. Student engagement, learning results, and educator satisfaction are important evaluation indicators. Institutions might also think about how digital pedagogies fit with their objectives and mission. Long-term evaluations should take into account how digital pedagogies help students develop critical abilities like teamwork, problem-solving, and digital literacy, which are essential for their future success.

(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: The global adoption of digital pedagogies poses difficulties because of differences in technological infrastructure, cultural norms, and resource availability. Digital pedagogies may be difficult for institutions and areas with limited access to technology to completely adopt. The readiness of educators and organizations to adopt digital teaching approaches may also be influenced by cultural norms and teaching traditions. It is crucial to adapt digital pedagogy tactics to the unique requirements and situations of each institution while taking larger, global trends in higher education into account in order to handle these problems.

(3) The Role of Technology in Empowerment: Through the use of digital pedagogies, technology has a significant impact on the empowerment of both teachers and students. Technology gives teachers more freedom in their lessons, gives them access to a multitude of digital resources, and gives them possibilities for professional growth. Technology-enabled active learning, individualized education, and access to material outside of traditional textbooks all help students. However, it is crucial to guarantee equal access to technology in order to prevent escalating educational disparities.

(4) Future of Digital Pedagogies in Higher Education: The use of technology in teaching and learning is projected to become even more pervasive in the future of digital pedagogies in higher education. This might involve using data analytics, augmented reality, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence to tailor learning opportunities and offer in-the-moment feedback. Institutions will also need to change to accommodate the post-pandemic era's increased use of online and hybrid teaching and learning. To equip teachers with the skills they need to traverse these shifting pedagogical settings, faculty development will be of utmost importance.

As a result, higher education institutions across the globe are changing due to the adoption of digital pedagogies for classroom instruction. Despite difficulties, the methods used to apply these pedagogies present optimistic future directions. Collaboration and investment in these initiatives are essential from institutions, politicians, and educational stakeholders to give educators and students the tools they need to succeed in the digital age. Universities can do this to improve the standard of instruction, encourage creativity, and better educate students for the constantly evolving demands of the twenty-first century.

## 9. ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF DIGITAL PEDAGOGIES FOR ONLINE TEACHING:

Due to the quick development of technology, online learning has become a crucial component of academic training all over the world. This thorough examination examines the various facets of digital pedagogies for online teaching from a worldwide standpoint. We explore several facets of digital

pedagogies, their significance, the difficulties encountered during their implementation, the techniques used to increase their efficiency, and an assessment of their effects on universities and higher education institutions around the world.

### 9.1 Various Digital pedagogies for online teaching:

Higher education institutions' use of digital pedagogies for online instruction has developed to offer engaging and productive learning opportunities. The following is a collection of different digital pedagogies, accompanied by thorough explanations:

**(1) Synchronous Online Classes:**

(a) Explanation: Synchronous online classes involve real-time, scheduled virtual meetings between instructors and students. These sessions often use video conferencing tools to facilitate interactive discussions, lectures, and collaborative activities.

(b) Benefits: Promotes real-time interaction, fosters a sense of community, and enables immediate clarification of doubts.

**(2) Asynchronous Learning:**

(a) Explanation: Asynchronous learning allows students to access course materials and complete assignments at their own pace, within specified deadlines. This flexible approach accommodates diverse schedules and learning styles.

(b) Benefits: Provides flexibility, supports self-paced learning, and accommodates students with varying commitments.

**(3) Discussion Boards and Forums:**

(a) Explanation: Online discussion boards and forums enable asynchronous text-based discussions among students and instructors. Participants can share thoughts, ask questions, and engage in meaningful dialogue.

(b) Benefits: Encourages critical thinking, supports peer interaction, and provides a space for reflective discussions.

**(4) Peer Teaching and Learning:**

(a) Explanation: Peer teaching and learning involve students taking on the role of instructors for specific topics or assignments. This approach promotes knowledge sharing, collaboration, and active engagement.

(b) Benefits: Enhances understanding of course material, encourages peer mentoring, and reinforces content mastery.

**(5) Flipped Classroom Model:**

(a) Explanation: In a flipped classroom, students review pre-recorded lectures or materials independently before synchronous class sessions. Class time is then dedicated to discussions, activities, and clarifying concepts.

(b) Benefits: Promotes active learning, fosters critical thinking, and maximizes in-class engagement.

**(6) Gamification and Game-Based Learning:**

(a) Explanation: Gamification integrates game elements (e.g., points, badges, competition) into the learning process. Game-based learning uses educational games to teach concepts and skills.

(b) Benefits: Enhances motivation, encourages problem-solving, and provides immediate feedback.

**(7) Interactive Multimedia Content:**

(a) Explanation: Interactive multimedia content includes videos, simulations, quizzes, and interactive e-books that engage students and promote active learning.

(b) Benefits: Enhances content retention, supports visual and auditory learners, and allows for self-paced learning.

**(8) Online Collaborative Projects:**

(a) Explanation: Online collaborative projects involve students working together on assignments, presentations, or research using digital tools and platforms. Collaborations can be synchronous or asynchronous.

(b) Benefits: Promotes teamwork, communication skills, and global collaboration opportunities.

**(9) Self-Assessment and Reflection:**

(a) Explanation: Self-assessment and reflection activities encourage students to assess their own learning progress and reflect on their understanding of course material.

(b) Benefits: Fosters metacognition, encourages self-directed learning, and supports goal setting.

**(10) Personalized Learning Paths:**
(a) Explanation: Personalized learning paths use data and adaptive technologies to tailor content and assignments to individual student needs and progress.
(b) Benefits: Addresses diverse learning needs, promotes autonomy, and maximizes learning efficiency.
**(11) Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR):**
(a) Explanation: AR overlays digital content onto the real world, while VR immerses users in a virtual environment. Both technologies offer immersive learning experiences.
(b) Benefits: Enhances experiential learning, provides simulations for real-world scenarios, and engages learners through immersive content.
**(12) Social Media Integration:**
(a) Explanation: Incorporating social media platforms into online courses allows for discussions, information sharing, and collaboration beyond the course environment. It connects students with current events and enables networking.
(b) Benefits: Encourages active participation, connects students with real-world applications, and fosters networking opportunities.
**(13) E-portfolios:**
(a) Explanation: E-portfolios allow students to compile and showcase their work, achievements, and reflections throughout a course or program. They often include written reflections on learning experiences.
(b) Benefits: Promotes metacognition, encourages self-assessment, and provides a tangible record of skills and accomplishments.
These digital pedagogies offer higher education institutions and universities worldwide the tools and approaches needed to create engaging and effective online learning experiences. Educators can choose and adapt these strategies based on their specific learning objectives, course content, and the diverse needs of their students.

### 9.2 Analysis:

(1) The Value of Digital Pedagogies in Online Education Digital pedagogies for online learning include a wide range of teaching strategies that make use of technology to promote learning in virtual settings. It is impossible to overestimate their importance in higher education, particularly in light of world events like the COVID-19 epidemic, which hastened the use of online learning. Universities may now reach a larger audience, increase student access to education, and offer flexible learning possibilities for students, regardless of their location or available time.
(2) Problems in Putting Digital Pedagogies into Practice for Online Teaching: While digital pedagogies have many advantages, they also present difficult practical problems. Concerns regarding retaining engagement in the online format, guaranteeing accessibility for all students, and addressing issues with online assessment and academic integrity are a few prevalent challenges. Faculty training and development is also frequently needed. The digital divide, which is characterized by unequal access to technology and the internet, is another significant issue, particularly in areas with poor infrastructure.
(3) Digital Pedagogy Implementation Strategies for Online Teaching Faculty development, technological infrastructure, and instructional design are just a few of the techniques that must be used in conjunction for the implementation of digital pedagogies for online teaching to be successful. Faculty development programs give educators the knowledge and assurance they need to use online learning environments, produce interesting digital content, and lead online conversations and activities. Institutions must make investments in a strong technology foundation, such as learning management systems, video conferencing equipment, and safe online testing infrastructure. To produce compelling online courses, instructional design concepts are crucial. These principles include clear learning objectives, multimedia content, and interactive evaluations.
(4) International Viewpoints on Digital Pedagogies for Online Teaching: Due to differences in culture, economy, and technology, digital pedagogies are adopted and integrated for online instruction in different ways around the world. Online education is a well-established and often used method of instruction in technologically advanced nations like North America and Western Europe. However, in developing nations, a lack of digital resources and limited access to technology can impede wider

adoption. International collaborations, partnerships, and projects focusing on technology accessibility, digital literacy, and capacity-building for educators in resource-poor countries are needed to close these gaps.

### 9.3 Evaluation:

(1) Measuring the Impact of Digital Pedagogies for Online Teaching: In order to determine their efficacy and maximize their use, digital pedagogies for online teaching must be evaluated for their impact. Student involvement, learning outcomes, and instructor satisfaction are important evaluation measures. Institutions should also evaluate the affordability and scalability of online teaching strategies. Long-term assessments have to take into account how well children are acquiring vital abilities like digital literacy, adaptability, and self-directed learning.
(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: The application of digital pedagogies for online instruction encounters difficulties due to differences in technology infrastructure, cultural aspects, and resource availability around the globe. Regions and institutions without widespread access to technology may find it difficult to properly implement online education. The readiness of educators and organizations to adopt digital teaching approaches may also be influenced by cultural norms and teaching traditions. It is crucial to adapt digital pedagogy tactics to the unique requirements and situations of each institution while taking larger, global trends in higher education into account in order to handle these problems.
(3) The Role of Technology in Empowerment: Through digital pedagogies for online instruction, technology plays a critical role in empowering both instructors and students. Tools that improve teaching abilities, facilitate collaboration, and offer real-time feedback are available to educators. Access to a multitude of digital materials, engaging educational opportunities, and more flexibility are all advantages for students. However, it is essential to guarantee equal access to technology in order to prevent escalating educational disparities.
(4) The Evolution of Digital Pedagogies for Online Learning in Higher Education Digital pedagogies for online instruction will continue to be innovative and adapt to new technology advances. Personalized learning experiences and immersive educational content will be made possible by artificial intelligence, data analytics, virtual reality, and augmented reality in online education. Institutions will also need to improve their approaches to online evaluation, faculty development, and combining online teaching with other types of instruction.
Digital pedagogies for online instruction have therefore been included into higher education institutions all around the world. Despite difficulties, the methods used to apply these pedagogies present optimistic future directions. Institutions, governments, and educational stakeholders must work together and invest in these initiatives to make sure that online teaching is efficient, inclusive, and in accordance with students' changing needs and the requirements of the digital age. Universities may continue to offer high-quality instruction that is accessible worldwide and crosses regional barriers by doing this.

## 10. ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF DIGITAL PEDAGOGIES FOR BLENDED LEARNING :

An educational strategy called blended learning, sometimes known as hybrid learning mixes traditional in-person classroom instruction with online or digital components. By combining the best aspects of both in-person and online education, it seeks to give students a flexible and individualized learning environment.
In a blended learning setting, students might participate in in-person instruction for some portions of the curriculum while remotely accessing digital materials like video lectures, discussion boards, or interactive assignments. This method is becoming more and more common in contemporary education since it allows for self-paced learning, encourages student engagement, and takes into account different learning styles.

### 10.1 Various Digital Pedagogies for Blended Teaching:

Higher education has seen a rise in the popularity of blended learning, which provides a flexible and successful method of teaching and learning. Students' blended learning experiences are being

improved by the use of various digital pedagogies. Here are some new proposals about these digital pedagogies:

**(1) Flipped Classroom Model:**

The usual techniques of instruction are turned around in the flipped classroom concept. Through reading assignments or video lectures, students participate in learning outside of class, and class time is devoted to active learning, debate, and application. The development of interesting pre-class materials is becoming simpler for instructors thanks to emerging technology like interactive video platforms.

**(2) Hybrid Synchronous Learning:**

In-person and online learning environments are combined in hybrid synchronous learning. Students are required to participate in live, on-campus or online classes. Remote students can take part in conversations and group projects by using cutting-edge video conferencing tools and virtual reality (VR) software to create immersive experiences.

**(3) Microlearning Modules:**

Providing information in brief, concentrated bursts is known as microlearning. Universities are developing microlearning modules that fit seamlessly into hybrid courses. These modules, which are frequently accessible through mobile apps, are particularly beneficial for just-in-time learning.

**(4) AI-Powered Personalization:**

Personalized learning paths are being developed using artificial intelligence (AI). AI may personalize the blended learning experience to each student's needs by identifying relevant online resources, activities, and assignments based on their performance and preferences.

**(5) Gamification and Simulations:**

To boost engagement, gamification components like leaderboards and badges are incorporated into blended courses. In addition, realistic simulations are employed in industries like engineering and healthcare to give students the opportunity to hone their skills and solve problems in a virtual setting.

**(6) Peer Learning Communities:**

Blended learning must include peer-led learning communities and online discussion boards. Students can share ideas, work on projects together, and encourage one another in these groups.

**(7) AR and VR Applications:**

Applications for virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) are being used to build immersive learning environments. For instance, history students might investigate historical events in VR simulations while medical students can practice surgical operations in virtual operating rooms.

**(8) Digital Portfolios and e-Portfolios:**

The creation of digital portfolios by students is encouraged as a way to highlight their learning, development, and achievements. Students use e-Portfolios as reflecting tools to monitor their learning and skill growth over the course of the course.

**(9) Data-Driven Insights:**

Analytics technologies that are included in blended learning management systems give teachers information about the engagement and performance of their students. This information can be used by teachers to identify kids who need more help and modify their pedagogical approaches accordingly.

**(10) Blended Learning Pathways:**

Well-defined blended learning routes are being developed by higher education institutions. For instance, students can decide to complete their degrees using a particular blended curriculum that mixes online and on-campus courses. Such routes provide convenience and flexibility while providing a high standard of education.

**(11) Interactive eBooks and OER:**

Open educational resources (OER) and interactive digital textbooks are being incorporated into blended learning courses. The learning process is improved by the inclusion of multimedia components, interactive tests, and linkages to other resources in these resources.

**(12) Remote Laboratories:**

Remote laboratories are being used in science and engineering classes so that students can conduct experiments from any location with an internet connection. These virtual labs provide real-time data analysis and hands-on experience.

**(13) Digital Assessment Tools:**

Digital assessment tools give teachers effective means of assessing student achievement. For a thorough understanding of student learning results, they may also integrate analytics, plagiarism detection, and autograding tools.

The use of cutting-edge digital pedagogies has aided in the further evolution of blended learning in higher education. These methods seek to give students a rich, interesting, and adaptable learning experience that responds to their particular requirements and goals.

### 10.2 Analysis of Digital Pedagogies for Blended Learning:

**(1) Pedagogical Objectives:**
(i) Advantages: Digital pedagogies promote flexible learning, active participation, and tailored instruction.
(ii) Challenges: It's critical to ensure alignment with the course objectives and avoid overloading students.
**(2) Technology Integration:**
(i) Advantages: LMS and AI are only two examples of the many digital tools that improve engagement and assessment.
(ii) Challenges: Disparities in faculty training and access to technology must be addressed.
**(3) Student Engagement:**
(i) Advantages: Online chats, rapid feedback, and gamification all boost motivation.
(ii) Challenges: Concerns include social isolation and maintaining motivation.
**(4) Assessment and Feedback:**
Advantages: Automated grading and a variety of assessment tools save time and offer feedback.
Challenges: It's critical to retain academic integrity and balance different methods of assessment.
**(5) Flexibility and Accessibility:**
(i) Advantages: With blended learning, different demands and timetables may be met.
(ii) Challenges: It is crucial to provide course accessibility while balancing flexibility.
**(6) Monitoring and Evaluation:**
(i) Advantages: Tools for monitoring and data analytics provide insights and early interventions.
(ii) Challenges: Attention must be paid to data privacy and accurate analytics interpretation.
**(7) Faculty Development:**
(i) Advantages: Through training in digital pedagogy, professors can enhance their teaching techniques.
(ii) Challenges: It's crucial to have resources and get past change-resistance.
**(8) Learner-Centered Approach:**
(i) Advantages: In-depth investigation and student responsibility are encouraged via blended learning.
(ii) Challenges: A culture shift and student support may be necessary for the transition to a learner-centered approach.

### 10.3 Evaluation of Digital Pedagogies for Blended Learning:

**(1) Effectiveness:**
(i) Advantages: Digital pedagogies have demonstrated potential for enhancing engagement and individualized instruction.
(ii) Challenges: Depending on the course design and student engagement, effectiveness varies.
**(2) Accessibility and Inclusivity:**
(i) Advantages: Diverse learners may find blended learning more convenient.
(ii) Challenges: All student needs, including those of students with impairments, should be met by accessibility measures.
**(3) Faculty and Student Satisfaction:**
(i) Advantages: Many teachers say they are happier now that they are embracing digital pedagogies.
(ii) Challenges: The effectiveness of course design and the usability of the technology both affect student happiness.
**(4) Learning Outcomes:**
(i) Advantages: Blended learning may result in better learning results.
(ii) Challenges: Effective mechanisms for evaluation and feedback are required.

**(5) Cost and Resource Management:**
(i) Advantages: Blended learning might use less resources and cost less money.
(ii) Challenges: Technology and faculty development can need significant upfront costs.
**(6) Data-Driven Improvement:**
(i) Advantages: Analytics of data offer insights for ongoing development.
(ii) Challenges: Concerns about ethics and privacy must be addressed.
**(7) Long-Term Viability:**
(i) Advantages: Long-term promise exists for blended learning, which is adaptive.
(ii) Challenges: Demanding factors include the speed of technology advancement and the requirement for continual faculty development.
**(8) Equity and Inclusion:**
(i) Advantages: There are prospects for more equitable education with digital pedagogies.
(ii) Challenges: Focused efforts are necessary for closing the digital divide and promoting inclusivity.

In summary, digital pedagogies in blended learning offer substantial advantages, but they come with a range of challenges. A careful evaluation of their effectiveness, accessibility, cost-efficiency, and impact on learning outcomes is essential. Institutions must address these challenges to harness the full potential of digital pedagogies for blended learning.

## 11. COMPARISON OF TRADITIONAL NON-DIGITAL PEDAGOGIES WITH DIGITAL PEDAGOGIES IN HIGHER EDUCATION TEACHING AND TRAINING METHODS :

The following table 5 lists a comparison of "traditional non-digital Pedagogies" with "digital Pedagogies" used in Higher Education Teaching and Training methods.

**Table 5:** Comparison of traditional non-digital Pedagogies with digital Pedagogies

| S. No. | Key Indicator | Traditional non-digital Pedagogies | Digital Pedagogies |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Engagement Levels | Traditional non-digital pedagogies often rely on passive learning. | Digital pedagogies in higher education can enhance engagement through interactive multimedia and online activities. |
| 2 | Accessibility | Traditional methods require physical presence. | Digital pedagogies provide greater accessibility for remote learners, breaking down geographical barriers. |
| 3 | Personalization | Traditional pedagogies often employ a one-size-fits-all approach. | Digital pedagogies can be personalized to cater to individual learning styles and paces. |
| 4 | Interactivity | Real-time interactions, discussion forums, and collaborative tools, fostering dynamic student engagement, etc. are limited in traditional methods. | Digital pedagogies offer real-time interactions, discussion forums, and collaborative tools, fostering dynamic student engagement, |
| 5 | Resources | Online resource usage is limited | Provide easy access to a wide range of online resources, including multimedia, databases, and e-books, |
| 6 | Feedback and Assessment | Traditional pedagogies may rely more on manual grading and face-to-face feedback. | Digital pedagogies enable rapid feedback and automated assessment tools. |
| 7 | Flexibility | Traditional pedagogies follow fixed class times. | Digital pedagogies offer greater flexibility with on-demand access to content, accommodating diverse schedules. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 8 | Active Learning | New learning techniques like simulations, gamification, and virtual labs, etc. may be less prevalent in traditional settings. | Digital pedagogies often emphasize active learning, problem-solving, and critical thinking through digital simulations, gamification, and virtual labs, etc. |
| 9 | Cost-Efficiency | Traditional methods often require substantial investments on physical resources and infrastructure. | Digital pedagogies can reduce costs associated with physical resources and infrastructure, making higher education more affordable. |
| 10 | Environmental Impact | Traditional pedagogies may rely on more physical materials and in-person gatherings. | Digital pedagogies have a smaller environmental footprint by reducing paper consumption and commuting. |

## 12. FACULTY DEVELOPMENTAL STRATEGIES :

Strategies for faculty development are essential for boosting teachers' efficacy in universities and institutions of higher learning all around the world. A collection of different faculty development techniques is provided below, along with thorough justifications:

**(1) Workshops and Seminars:**

(a) Explanation: Workshops and seminars provide faculty members with opportunities for professional growth and skill development. They cover a range of topics, including teaching techniques, assessment methods, and technology integration.

(b) Benefits: Facilitates hands-on learning, encourages peer collaboration, and addresses specific pedagogical challenges.

**(2) Mentoring Programs:**

(a) Explanation: Mentoring programs pair experienced faculty members (mentors) with less-experienced colleagues (mentees). Mentors provide guidance, support, and expertise to help mentees navigate their teaching and research roles.

(b) Benefits: Offers personalized support, facilitates knowledge transfer, and promotes a sense of community among faculty.

**(3) Peer Observation and Feedback:**

(a) Explanation: Faculty members observe each other's classes and provide constructive feedback. This practice helps educators refine their teaching methods and learn from their peers.

(b) Benefits: Encourages reflective teaching practices, promotes a culture of continuous improvement, and fosters collegiality.

**(4) Communities of Practice (CoPs):**

(a) Explanation: CoPs are groups of faculty members who share common interests or teaching approaches. They meet regularly to discuss and exchange ideas, resources, and best practices.

(b) Benefits: Fosters collaboration, provides a platform for idea sharing, and supports ongoing professional development.

**(5) Online Learning and Webinars:**

(a) Explanation: Online learning platforms and webinars offer flexible, self-paced, or live learning opportunities for faculty. They cover a wide range of topics related to teaching, technology, and research.

(b) Benefits: Allows access to professional development from anywhere, accommodates busy schedules, and promotes digital literacy.

**(6) Innovative Teaching Grants:**

(a) Explanation: Institutions offer grants or funding to faculty members to explore and implement innovative teaching methods, technologies, or curriculum enhancements.

(b) Benefits: Encourages experimentation, supports pedagogical innovation, and provides financial resources for faculty projects.

**(7) Teaching Portfolios:**

(a) Explanation: Faculty members create teaching portfolios that document their teaching philosophy, strategies, and evidence of effective teaching. These portfolios are often used for promotion and tenure.

(b) Benefits: Encourages self-reflection, provides a comprehensive view of teaching accomplishments, and supports career advancement.

**(8) Inclusive Teaching Workshops:**

(a) Explanation: Workshops on inclusive teaching strategies help faculty create equitable and diverse learning environments. They focus on addressing the needs of all students, including those from underrepresented groups.

(b) Benefits: Promotes diversity and inclusion, enhances student engagement, and addresses accessibility and equity issues.

**(9) International Collaborations and Exchanges:**

(a) Explanation: Faculty members engage in international collaborations and exchanges with institutions abroad. These experiences expose educators to different teaching methods, cultures, and perspectives.

(b) Benefits: Broadens cultural competence, fosters global awareness, and enhances teaching and research through international perspectives.

**(10) Assessment and Feedback Mechanisms:**

(a) Explanation: Institutions implement assessment tools and feedback mechanisms, such as course evaluations, to gather input from students and peers about faculty teaching effectiveness.

(b) Benefits: Provides actionable insights for faculty improvement, encourages accountability, and supports data-driven decision-making.

**(11) Leadership Development Programs:**

(a) Explanation: Leadership development programs prepare faculty for administrative roles within higher education institutions. These programs offer training in leadership skills, strategic planning, and management.

(b) Benefits: Equips future academic leaders, supports succession planning, and enhances institutional governance.

**(12) Research Support and Grants:**

(a) Explanation: Institutions offer research support, grants, and resources to help faculty members advance their research agendas. This includes financial support, access to research databases, and proposal development assistance.

(b) Benefits: Encourages research productivity, contributes to academic excellence, and enhances faculty's scholarly contributions.

**(13) Interdisciplinary Collaboration Initiatives:**

(a) Explanation: Institutions promote interdisciplinary collaboration by facilitating interactions between faculty from different departments or disciplines. These initiatives encourage the development of multidisciplinary courses and research projects.

(b) Benefits: Fosters innovative approaches, addresses complex problems, and promotes a holistic view of education.

These faculty development strategies provide higher education institutions and universities worldwide with a comprehensive toolbox to support the growth and professional development of their faculty members. Faculty can choose and tailor these strategies based on their career goals, interests, and areas of improvement to continually enhance their teaching, research, and leadership skills.

### 12.1 Analysis and Evaluation of Faculty Developmental Strategies for Classroom Teaching:

The foundation of all higher education institutions and universities around the world is effective classroom instruction. The learning experiences of students are significantly shaped by the teaching staff. This thorough examination examines the various facets of faculty development strategies for classroom instruction from a worldwide standpoint. We examine several facets of faculty development, including its importance, the difficulties encountered, the methods used, and an assessment of their effects on universities and higher education institutions around the world.

**Analysis:**

(1) The Value of Faculty Development for Classroom Instruction: A variety of programs are included in faculty development for classroom teaching with the goal of strengthening educators' pedagogical abilities, subject-matter knowledge, and instructional techniques. One cannot stress its importance in higher education. In addition to having an impact on students' academic achievement, effective classroom instruction also advances their general development. Faculty development gives teachers

the tools they need to design inclusive, engaging learning environments, adjust to changing educational technologies, and use practices that are supported by research.

(2) Obstacles to Faculty Development for Teaching in the Classroom: Although it is crucial, faculty growth is not without difficulties. Limited resources, varied faculty motivations, resistance to change, and the need for continual assistance are common challenges. Additionally, time, institutional culture, and competing obligations may present challenges for faculty. Overcoming these difficulties calls for a methodical strategy that takes into account the unique requirements and environments of various institutions.

(3) Faculty Development Strategies for Classroom Teaching: Effective faculty development initiatives use a diverse approach that incorporates pedagogical research, workshops, mentoring, and technology integration. Educators have the chance to learn about cutting-edge teaching strategies, assessment methodologies, and best practices at workshops and seminars. In order to promote knowledge transfer and professional development, mentoring programs match inexperienced professors with experts. With the help of technology integration strategies, educators can effectively use digital tools and platforms in the classroom. Faculty are encouraged to do scholarly research and enhance their teaching strategies by pedagogical research.

(4) International Viewpoints on Faculty Development for Classroom Teaching: Globally, there are different approaches to faculty development because of institutional, cultural, and economic disparities. Faculty development is highly organized in several Western nations, with specific facilities and substantial resources. In contrast, faculty development may be less regulated and professional development opportunities for educators may be more scarce in developing nations. But engagement, active learning, and assessment are the cornerstones of effective teaching and learning that cut beyond cultural and geographic barriers and can be used to a variety of circumstances.

**Evaluation:**

(1) Measuring the Impact of Faculty Development: In order to evaluate the success of faculty development for classroom instruction and optimize techniques, this impact must be measured. Changes in instructional strategies, student learning results, and teacher satisfaction are important evaluation measures. Long-term evaluations might take into account how faculty growth has affected institutional culture and students' success outside of the classroom.

(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: The application of faculty development methodologies around the globe involves difficulties because of resource inequalities, cultural aspects, and institutional norms. Comprehensive faculty development programs may be challenging for institutions with limited resources to provide. The willingness of faculty members to adopt new teaching strategies may also be influenced by cultural norms and teaching traditions. Institutions should adapt faculty development methods to their unique circumstances and needs while taking into account global trends in higher education in order to meet these difficulties.

(3) The Role of Technology in Faculty Development: Digital platforms such as webinars, online workshops, and the ability to share best practices all play important roles in faculty development. Technology should, however, enhance rather than replace the collaborative and individualized components of teacher development. For successful application, educational knowledge and technical advancements must coexist.

(4) Faculty Development for Classroom Teaching in the Future: Faculty development must constantly adapt to changing educational environments if it is to succeed. The incorporation of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) ideas into faculty development programs is one of the newest developments, along with microlearning and tailored development routes. Additionally, faculty development will keep addressing the difficulties and chances brought on by online and hybrid instructional methods.

In conclusion, faculty development for classroom instruction is essential for raising the caliber of universities and colleges around the world. Despite the difficulties, the techniques used present optimistic future directions. It is imperative that institutions, governments, and educational stakeholders work together to ensure that teachers have the tools they need to deliver inclusive, effective, and engaging lessons that will help students succeed in a world that is changing quickly. Universities can achieve their goal of encouraging excellence in teaching, research, and service on a worldwide scale by investing in the growth of their faculty.

### 12.2 Analysis and Evaluation of Faculty Developmental Strategies for Online Teaching:

Online instruction has become a crucial part of higher education institutions and universities all over the world in an era of rapid digital development. Faculty members are in the vanguard of this movement since they are responsible for providing high-quality education in virtual settings. This thorough examination examines the various facets of faculty development techniques for online education from a worldwide standpoint. We examine several facets of faculty development, including its importance, the difficulties encountered, the methods used, and an assessment of their effects on universities and higher education institutions around the world.

**Analysis:**

(1) The Importance of Faculty Development for Online Teaching: In higher education institutions all over the world, faculty development for online teaching is of utmost importance. Online learning provides more learning access, student freedom, and the potential to reach a worldwide audience. However, efficient online instruction necessitates a certain set of abilities that merge pedagogical knowledge with digital literacy. Faculty development provides educators with the skills and resources they need to design inclusive, effective, and engaging online learning environments, ensuring that all students, regardless of where they live, receive a high-quality education.

(2) Obstacles to Faculty Development for Online Teaching: There are a number of obstacles to faculty development for online instruction. Faculty members may encounter opposition to implementing online teaching strategies, worries about losing face-to-face encounters, and reservations about using technology. Additionally, it is logistically difficult to give instructors the proper assistance and training. Accessibility, equity, and the digital divide are further challenges that must be addressed while educating online, especially in places with poor infrastructure.

(3) Faculty Development techniques for Online Teaching: Successful faculty development techniques for online teaching take a complete approach that includes education, mentorship, technology assistance, and continuing evaluation. Workshops and webinars on online pedagogy, course design, and the use of digital tools are open to faculty members. Mentoring programs match beginners with seasoned online educators to offer advice and discuss best practices. Faculty members have access to dependable digital infrastructure, as well as the required tools and resources, thanks to technological support. Continuous improvement is aided by ongoing assessment, which looks at student input, course outcomes, and teacher performance.

(4) International Viewpoints on Faculty Development for Online Teaching: Due to regional cultural, economic, and technological disparities, faculty development strategies for online teaching are adopted and put into practice in different ways around the world. Online education is still developing in certain areas while others have considerable infrastructure and resources supporting it. The fundamentals of good online teaching, such as engagement, interactivity, and assessment, are universal and may be used in any situation. Programs for faculty development must be tailored to the resources and needs of the local area, and international cooperation can help to share expertise and improve capacity.

**Evaluation:**

(1) Measuring the Impact of Faculty Development: To determine its efficacy and improve techniques, online teaching must evaluate the impact of faculty development. Changes in instructional strategies, student learning results, and teacher satisfaction are important evaluation measures. Long-term evaluations ought to take institutional culture, technological readiness, and the general standard of online education into account.

(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: Faculty development plans for online education have difficulties when implemented globally due to resource imbalances, cultural considerations, and varied levels of technology infrastructure. Faculty development programs may be more extensive at institutions in resource-rich nations than they are at resource-limited ones, which may find it difficult to offer adequate support. Faculty readiness to accept online education can be influenced by cultural norms and instructional traditions. Faculty development strategies should be flexible and customized to particular circumstances while taking into account global trends in higher education in order to handle these problems.

(3) The Role of Technology in Faculty Development: By providing chances for webinars, virtual workshops, and the distribution of best practices via digital platforms, technology plays a crucial role in faculty development for online teaching. To balance technical advancements with pedagogical

experience, though, is crucial. Faculty members should receive training in both technology use and efficient online teaching techniques.

(4) The Future of Faculty Development for Online Teaching: The future of faculty development in online teaching will be marked by continued adaptation to evolving educational landscapes. Emerging trends include microlearning, personalized development pathways, and the integration of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) principles into faculty development programs. Additionally, faculty development will continue to address the challenges and opportunities presented by hybrid and fully online teaching modalities.

Consequently, faculty development for online teaching is essential for raising the caliber of universities and higher education institutions around the world. Despite the difficulties, the techniques used present optimistic future directions. To guarantee that teachers are well-equipped to deliver engaging, efficient, and inclusive online learning experiences that prepare students for success in a fast-changing world, collaboration among institutions, legislators, and educational stakeholders is crucial. Universities can achieve their goal of encouraging excellence in teaching, research, and service on a worldwide scale by investing in the growth of their faculty.

### 12.3 Analysis and Evaluation of Faculty Developmental Strategies for Research and Publications:

Universities and other higher education institutions all throughout the world see research and scholarly publication as essential to their missions. In developing knowledge, solving societal issues, and reshaping the academic landscape, faculty members are crucial. This in-depth investigation examines the various facets of faculty development plans for research and publication from a global standpoint. We examine several facets of faculty development, including its importance, the difficulties encountered, the methods used, and an assessment of their effects on universities and higher education institutions around the world.

**Faculty development strategies for research and publications:**

Faculty development strategies for research and publications are crucial for promoting scholarly productivity and advancing the mission of higher education institutions and universities worldwide. Here is a list of various faculty developmental strategies, along with detailed explanations:

**(1) Research Workshops and Seminars:**

(a) Explanation: Research workshops and seminars provide faculty members with opportunities to enhance their research skills, stay updated on emerging trends, and receive guidance on various aspects of the research process, including grant writing, data analysis, and manuscript preparation.

(b) Benefits: Fosters a research-oriented mindset, encourages interdisciplinary collaboration, and supports faculty in producing high-quality research.

**(2) Research Mentoring Programs:**

(a) Explanation: Research mentoring programs pair experienced researchers with junior faculty or graduate students to provide guidance, share expertise, and facilitate knowledge transfer. Mentors offer valuable insights into the research process and scholarly career development.

(b) Benefits: Accelerates research productivity, promotes a culture of research excellence, and cultivates a supportive research community.

**(3) Grant Writing Workshops and Support:**

(a) Explanation: Workshops and support services focus on grant proposal writing, budget development, and navigating the funding landscape. Faculty receive guidance on identifying funding opportunities and preparing competitive grant applications.

(b) Benefits: Increases the likelihood of grant success, secures research funding, and enables faculty to pursue ambitious research projects.

**(4) Research Collaborations and Networking Events:**

(a) Explanation: Events and programs facilitate research collaborations, both within and beyond the institution. Faculty members can connect with peers, industry partners, and researchers from other institutions through conferences, symposia, and collaborative initiatives.

(b) Benefits: Enhances research opportunities, promotes knowledge exchange, and broadens research networks.

**(5) Research Ethics and Integrity Training:**

(a) Explanation: Training in research ethics and integrity ensures that faculty members are aware of ethical guidelines, responsible conduct of research, and the importance of upholding high ethical standards in their research endeavors.
(b) Benefits: Supports research credibility, mitigates ethical dilemmas, and reinforces trust in research outcomes.

**(6) Interdisciplinary Research Centers and Institutes:**

(a) Explanation: Institutions establish interdisciplinary research centers and institutes that bring together faculty from diverse fields to address complex, interdisciplinary research questions. Faculty members have the opportunity to collaborate on multidisciplinary projects.
(b) Benefits: Promotes cross-disciplinary innovation, fosters a culture of collaboration, and strengthens the institution's research profile.

**(7) Publication Workshops and Scholarly Writing Support:**

(a) Explanation: Workshops and writing support services assist faculty in improving their scholarly writing skills, understanding the publication process, and navigating the intricacies of academic publishing.
(b) Benefits: Enhances the quality of research publications, increases faculty's visibility in the academic community, and accelerates the dissemination of research findings.

**(8) Research Leave and Sabbaticals:**

(a) Explanation: Faculty members are granted research leave or sabbaticals, which provide dedicated time and resources to focus on their research projects. During this period, they can immerse themselves in scholarly work without the distractions of teaching or administrative duties.
(b) Benefits: Supports deep immersion in research, encourages productivity, and allows faculty to pursue ambitious research goals.

**(9) Peer Review and Collaborative Feedback Groups:**

(a) Explanation: Peer review and collaborative feedback groups involve faculty members sharing their research manuscripts or proposals with peers for constructive critique and feedback. This process helps refine research outputs before submission.
(b) Benefits: Improves the quality of research work, provides diverse perspectives, and fosters a culture of peer support and accountability.

**(10) Research Analytics and Bibliometrics Training:**

(a) Explanation: Training in research analytics and bibliometrics equips faculty with the skills to track and analyze research impact metrics, citations, and publication trends. This knowledge aids in optimizing research strategies.
(b) Benefits: Provides insights into research impact, informs strategic decision-making, and enhances research visibility.

**(11) International Research Collaborations:**

(a) Explanation: Faculty members engage in international research collaborations by partnering with researchers from institutions around the world. These collaborations facilitate cross-cultural research and access to diverse resources.
(b) Benefits: Enhances research quality, broadens global perspectives, and expands research opportunities.

**(12) Institutional Research Incentives and Awards:**

(a) Explanation: Institutions establish research incentives, grants, and awards to recognize and reward faculty members for their research achievements. These incentives may include research funds, travel grants, and recognition programs.
(b) Benefits: Motivates faculty to excel in research, fosters a culture of recognition, and supports research excellence.

These faculty developmental strategies empower educators to advance their research and publication efforts, contributing to the scholarly output and reputation of higher education institutions and universities worldwide. Faculty can choose and tailor these strategies based on their research interests, career goals, and institutional resources to continuously enhance their research productivity and impact.

**Analysis:**

(1) The Significance of Faculty Development for Research and Publication: Faculty development for research and publication is paramount in higher education institutions worldwide. High-quality

research and scholarly publication contribute to the institution's reputation, foster academic excellence, and provide a platform for knowledge dissemination. Faculty development equips educators with the skills, resources, and support necessary to excel in research, produce impactful publications, and contribute to the intellectual growth of their disciplines.

(2) Challenges in Faculty Development for Research and Publication: Faculty development in research and publication faces several challenges. Faculty members may encounter barriers such as heavy teaching loads, administrative duties, and limited research funding. Additionally, navigating the increasingly competitive academic publishing landscape and staying current with evolving publication norms can be daunting. Addressing these challenges requires tailored faculty development strategies that consider institutional contexts and individual needs.

(3) Strategies for Faculty Development for Research and Publication: Effective strategies for faculty development in research and publication encompass a multifaceted approach that includes training, mentorship, access to resources, and a culture of research support. Faculty members can participate in research workshops and seminars to enhance their research methodologies and publication strategies. Mentorship programs pair experienced researchers with junior faculty to provide guidance and foster collaboration. Institutions should provide access to research funding, library resources, and publication outlets. Creating a culture of research support involves recognizing and valuing faculty research contributions through promotion and tenure policies that prioritize research and scholarly activities.

(4) Global Perspectives on Faculty Development for Research and Publication: The adoption and implementation of faculty development strategies for research and publication vary globally due to cultural, economic, and institutional differences. With plenty of resources and faculty incentives, research and publishing are highly valued in several areas. In contrast, teachers may only have restricted access to research support in areas with inadequate resources. However, the core tenets of high-caliber research, such as exacting methodology and the public publication of results, continue to apply to all fields of study. Programs for faculty development should be flexible enough to adapt to local conditions and attentive to international trends in scholarly communication.

**Evaluation:**

(1) Measuring the Impact of Faculty Development: Research and publishing impact evaluation of faculty development is crucial for determining efficacy and optimizing tactics. Faculty research production, publication output, citation impact, and research funding achievement are important evaluation criteria. Long-term evaluations should take into account how academic research contributes to the growth of knowledge and the reputation of the institution.

(2) Obstacles and Restrictions in International Implementation: Global resource inequalities, cultural differences, and diverse institutional priorities present obstacles to the implementation of faculty development methods for research and publication. Institutions with appropriate funding may have more robust faculty development initiatives, whereas those with insufficient funding may find it difficult to offer sufficient assistance. Faculty readiness for research and publication can be influenced by cultural norms and scholarly traditions. Faculty development plans should be flexible, inclusive, and attentive to the situation in order to solve these issues.

(3) The Impact of Technology on Faculty Development: Through options for online research training, virtual research collaborations, and access to digital research resources, technology has a significant impact on faculty development for research and publishing. Faculty members can participate in international intellectual communities and spread the word about their research discoveries more broadly thanks to it as well. But it is crucial to prevent technological advancements from causing unequal access to research opportunities and resources.

(4) Faculty Development for Research and Publication in the Future: To adapt to the shifting demands of higher education, faculty growth in research and publication will continue to change. Emerging trends include multidisciplinary research, open access publishing, and incorporating diversity, equality, and inclusion (DEI) ideals into research procedures. Faculty development will also focus on the difficulties and possibilities brought on by changing research methodology and collaborative structures.

The quality and effect of universities and higher education institutions around the world can therefore be greatly improved by faculty development for research and publication. Despite the difficulties, the techniques used present optimistic future directions. To guarantee that faculty members are adequately prepared to contribute to the growth of knowledge and the academic success of their institutions,

collaboration among institutions, policymakers, and educational stakeholders is crucial. Universities can achieve their goals of encouraging research excellence and making significant contributions to society on a global scale by investing in the growth of their faculty.

## 13. IMPROVING FACULTY EFFICIENCY AND FACULTY ANNUAL PRODUCTIVITY USING THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS :

### 13.1 Improving Faculty Efficiency Using Theory of Accountability:

Theory of Accountability (also called Theory A) is a systematic organizational development theory for 21st century organizations for optimizing human productivity published by Aithal et al. [56-67]. The eight postulates of Theory of Accountability (Theory A) are:

(1) Planning: Institutional evaluation, issue identification, and collaborative policy formulation.
(2) Target setting: Action planning, communication, and common understanding.
(3) Motivation: Adoption of the concept and improved output.
(4) Work Strategies: Teamwork, empowerment, and support are effective work strategies.
(5) Responsibility: dedication, reliability, and achievement of objectives.
(6) Role model: Setting an example and being eager to get better.
(7) Monitoring and guiding: Consensual evaluation, self-evaluation, and affirmation of success.
(8) Accountability: Making a contribution by being dedicated and innovative.

In all sectors of the economy, including higher education, Theory A can be applied to increase human output. Using the Theory of Accountability (Theory A), colleges can increase faculty productivity by putting in place a methodical plan that prioritizes accountability, motivation, and responsibility. This idea offers a framework for improving organizational excellence and teacher performance. Let's look at how to gradually increase faculty productivity utilizing the elements of the Theory of Accountability:

**(1) Problem Identification Based on Organizational Objectives:**

(a) Start by identifying the specific challenges and inefficiencies that hinder the achievement of the institution's educational objectives. These objectives may include research productivity, teaching quality, student retention rates, or other institutional goals.
(b) Analyze the root causes of these problems and understand how they affect faculty efficiency.

**(2) Planning Based on Set Objectives:**

(a) Develop a strategic plan that aligns with the institution's objectives. This plan should outline the specific goals and milestones for improving faculty efficiency.
(b) Ensure that the planning process involves faculty members at various levels, encouraging their input and innovative contributions.

**(3) Target Setting:**

(a) Establish clear and measurable targets for both teams and individual faculty members. These targets should be directly related to the institution's objectives and should be time-bound.
(b) Communicate these targets to faculty members and provide them with a sense of ownership and responsibility for achieving them.

**(4) Motivation:**

(a) Implement motivational strategies that inspire faculty members to discover and leverage their potential. Motivation should be tailored to address individual weaknesses and encourage collaborative efforts.
(b) Recognize and appreciate the contributions of faculty members who actively engage in achieving the institution's goals.

**(5) Work Strategies:**

(a) Develop work strategies that provide a structured and time-bound plan for faculty members to reach their targets. These strategies should also incorporate the flexibility to adapt to changing circumstances.
(b) Encourage faculty members to collaborate with other teams and individuals, promoting a culture of multitasking and efficiency.

**(6) Responsibility:**

(a) Emphasize the importance of responsibility among faculty members. Encourage them to take ownership of their roles and contribute actively to achieving the institution's objectives.

(b) Identify and nurture employees who demonstrate a high level of responsibility, as they can serve as role models for others.

**(7) Role Model:**

(a) Showcase role models within the organization who have achieved exceptional results and productivity. These role models can be internal or external individuals who have excelled in similar fields.

(b) Use role models to demonstrate that the set targets are achievable and inspire faculty members to aim for excellence.

**(8) Monitoring:**

(a) Implement a continuous monitoring system to track faculty performance in real-time. Compare actual performance against planned targets and provide timely feedback to faculty members.

(b) Monitoring creates a sense of responsibility among employees and helps identify areas for improvement.

**(9) Accountability:**

(a) Establish a culture of accountability where every faculty member is held responsible for their actions and contributions to the institution's objectives.

(b) Define accountability criteria based on performance and consistency with the organization's policies.

**(10) Accountability-Based Incentives:**

(a) Introduce accountability-based incentives to reward faculty members who consistently meet or exceed their targets. These incentives can include positive recognition, career advancement, or financial rewards.

(b) Ensure that the incentive system is transparent, fair, and aligned with the institution's goals.

Universities and other higher education institutions can raise the standard of instruction overall by putting these measures into practice in a way that is consistent with the Theory of Accountability. This strategy places a strong emphasis on the value of personal growth, inspiration, and accountability for achieving both individual and organizational success.

### 13.2 Improving Faculty Annual Productivity using ABC Theory of Faculty Research Performance:

ABC model of Annual Research Productivity of researchers and faculty members of Higher Education Institutions is published in 2018 by P. S. Aithal [68]. Further, this model is used for many variations of research related to research productivity [69-79]. Improving faculty annual productivity using the ABC model of Faculty Research Performance in higher educational institutions and universities worldwide involves a systematic approach to measure, assess, and enhance research productivity. This theory emphasizes the importance of research and publications as key indicators of institutional quality. Here's a detailed description of how to improve faculty annual productivity using the ABC Model:

**(1) Understanding the ABC Model:**

(a) Begin by understanding the ABC model, which measures institutional performance based on research and publications.

(b) The ABC model posits that the quality of higher education depends on the institution's ability to create new knowledge, which, in turn, depends on research and publications by both faculty members and students.

(c) The model calculates institutional publication ability using the number of Articles published in Journals (A), the number of Books published (B), and the number of Business cases and Book chapters (C).

**(2) Calculate the Research Index (α):**

(a) The research index per year (α) is calculated using the formula: $\alpha = (2A + 5B + C)/F$.

Where:

A = Number of publications in Journals in that year.

B = Number of books published in that year.

C = Number of Publications of Business Cases published in that year.

F = Number of full-time faculty members in that institution during that year.

(b) The weightage for a research article (A) is two, for a book (B) is five, and for a case study (C) is one, based on the relative significance and efforts involved in generating each type of publication.

**(3) Calculate the Weighted Research Index (β):**

(a) The weighted research index per year (β) is calculated using the formula: $\beta = (2A + 5B + C)/8F$.

(b) This formula considers the same factors as in the α calculation but provides a weighted average.

(c) The weighted research index assigns weightage to each type of publication based on its significance.

**(4) Set Clear Research Objectives:**

(a) Institutions should set clear research objectives that align with their mission and vision.

(b) Determine the desired level of research productivity based on the institution's goals and the ABC model's parameters.

**(5) Foster a Research-Friendly Environment:**

(a) Create a conducive environment that supports research activities. Provide resources such as libraries, research funding, and access to academic journals.

(b) Encourage interdisciplinary collaboration and research teams to facilitate knowledge sharing and innovation.

**(6) Faculty Development and Support:**

(a) Offer faculty members opportunities for professional development in research methodology, grant writing, and publication strategies.

(b) Provide mentorship programs to guide junior faculty and promote a culture of research excellence.

**(7) Tracking and Monitoring:**

(a) Continuously track and monitor faculty research activities, including the number of publications in journals, books, and business cases.

(b) Use data analytics to assess progress toward research objectives and identify areas for improvement.

**(8) Incentives and Recognition:**

(a) Implement an incentive system that rewards faculty members for achieving and exceeding research targets.

(b) Recognize and celebrate faculty accomplishments in research and publication to motivate further productivity.

**(9) Collaboration and Networking:**

(a) Encourage faculty to collaborate with peers within and outside the institution.

(b) Participation in research networks and collaborations can lead to increased research opportunities.

**(10) Review and Adapt:**

(a) Periodically review the institution's research performance using the ABC model's parameters. - Make necessary adjustments to strategies and resources based on the results of the evaluation.

**(11) Institutional Research Productivity Index:**

(a) Calculate the institutional research productivity index using the formula: $[ (2A + 5B + 1C) / F]$. - This index provides a quantitative measure of the institution's research productivity, considering the weights assigned to different types of publications.

**(12) Continuous Improvement:**

(a) Emphasize a culture of continuous improvement in research and publications. - Encourage faculty to set personal research goals and strive for excellence in their respective fields.

By implementing these steps in line with the ABC Theory of Faculty Research Performance, higher educational institutions and universities can enhance faculty annual productivity, elevate research quality, and contribute to the institution's overall excellence. This approach emphasizes the importance of research as a cornerstone of institutional success and academic advancement.

## 14. POSTULATES AND SUGGESTIONS :

### 14.1 Postulates:

Postulate 1: The adoption of digital pedagogies is essential for enhancing teaching and learning in higher education institutions (HEIs) worldwide.

Postulate 2: Faculty members can be effectively empowered as mentors in HEIs through structured mentorship programs and support.

Postulate 3: Empowering faculty members as mentors contributes to improved student outcomes and overall institutional excellence in HEIs.
Postulate 4: Strategies for empowering mentors should be context-specific and take into account the various requirements and difficulties faced by HEIs worldwide.
Postulate 5: Both in-person and online learning environments, digital pedagogies should take into account the various learning preferences and styles of students.
Postulate 6: Faculty development initiatives are essential for improving the caliber of research and publications, online instruction, and classroom instruction in HEIs.
Postulate 7: For measuring and enhancing faculty effectiveness and yearly production in HEIs, the theoretical frameworks of Theory of Accountability (Theory A) and the ABC model of Faculty yearly Research production offer invaluable insights.
Postulate 8: Educators in HEIs must undergo ongoing training and professional development in order for technology to be fully integrated into the classroom.
Postulate 9: Innovative teaching strategies and breakthroughs in research can result from collaborative and interdisciplinary approaches to faculty development.
Postulate 10: Strong institutional support and a culture of continual improvement are essential for empowerment programs for instructors in HEIs to be successful.

### 14.2 Suggestions:

Suggestion 1: HEIs should spend money creating and implementing comprehensive digital pedagogies that meet the demands of all types of students.
Suggestion 2: Create mentorship programs that gives faculty members the education, tools, and incentives they need to be successful in mentoring students.
Suggestion 3: Regularly analyze mentorship programs' effects on student achievement and make the necessary improvements.
Suggestion 4: Establish a global network of educators to share best practices and experiences in empowering mentors and implementing digital pedagogies.
Suggestion 5: Develop customized faculty development plans that address the specific needs of educators in classroom teaching, online teaching, and research & publications.
Suggestion 6: Encourage faculty members to engage in interdisciplinary collaborations and research projects to foster innovation and knowledge dissemination.
Suggestion 7: Provide ongoing support and resources for educators to stay updated on the latest advancements in digital technologies and teaching methodologies.
Suggestion 8: Implement data-driven approaches to monitor and measure faculty efficiency and productivity, using two theoretical frameworks (Theory of Accountability (Theory A) and ABC model of Faculty Annual Research Productivity) as guidance.
Suggestion 9: Foster a culture of research and scholarship among faculty members by offering incentives and recognition for their contributions to the field.
Suggestion 10: Institutional leaders should prioritize faculty development and digital pedagogy initiatives as integral components of the HEI's strategic plan, ensuring long-term commitment and sustainability.

## 15. CONCLUSION :

In order to empower educators in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) around the world, this exploratory research article set out on a quest to unravel the subtle relationship between digital pedagogies and faculty development techniques. This work explored unknown ground by using an exploratory research style in an effort to gain a deeper understanding of this complex topic. The quest was motivated by a number of all-encompassing goals that included innovative teaching and learning techniques, mentorship empowerment, digital pedagogical practices, and tactics for faculty development.
The paper used a variety of internet resources during the investigation, including search engines, GPTs, such as ChatGPT and Bard, and more specialist tools like Google Scholar. The study carefully considered, assessed, and interpreted the vast amount of data we acquired, adhering to a certain framework that allowed us to combine hypotheses and helpful recommendations. These ideas have been developed with the goal of accelerating transformative change at HEIs and universities all around

the world. The experience has been eye-opening, exposing both the benefits and challenges of empowering teachers in an increasingly digitalized educational environment. The integration of digital pedagogies and faculty development strategies holds enormous promise for boosting teaching, mentoring, and research, as the study explores the constantly changing landscape of higher education. This research work, although exploratory in nature, lays a sturdy foundation for future endeavours that may delve deeper into these realms, further refining the understanding and paving the way for more innovative and effective approaches to empower educators in HEIs across the globe.

## REFERENCES :

[1] Reddy, P., Sharma, B., & Chaudhary, K. (2020). Digital literacy: A review of literature. *International Journal of Technoethics (IJT)*, *11*(2), 65-94. Google Scholar↗

[2] Eshet, Y. (2004). Digital literacy: A conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era. *Journal of educational multimedia and hypermedia*, *13*(1), 93-106. Google Scholar↗

[3] Lewin, D., & Lundie, D. (2016). Philosophies of digital pedagogy. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, *35*, 235-240. Google Scholar↗

[4] Camblin Jr, L. D., & Steger, J. A. (2000). Rethinking faculty development. *Higher education*, *39*(1), 1-18. Google Scholar↗

[5] Könings, K. D., Brand-Gruwel, S., & Van Merriënboer, J. J. (2005). Towards more powerful learning environments through combining the perspectives of designers, teachers, and students. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, *75*(4), 645-660. Google Scholar↗

[6] Bajada, C., Kandlbinder, P., & Trayler, R. (2019). A general framework for cultivating innovations in higher education curriculum. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *38*(3), 465-478. Google Scholar↗

[7] Weis, T. M., Benmayor, R., O'Leary, C., & Eynon, B. (2002). Digital technologies and pedagogies. *Social Justice*, *29*(4 (90), 153-167. Google Scholar↗

[8] Lewin, D., & Lundie, D. (2016). Philosophies of digital pedagogy. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, *35*, 235-240. Google Scholar↗

[9] Väätäjä, J. O., & Ruokamo, H. (2021). Conceptualizing dimensions and a model for digital pedagogy. *Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology*, *15*(1), 1834490921995395, 01-12. Google Scholar↗

[10] Greenhow, C., Lewin, C., & Staudt Willet, K. B. (2021). The educational response to Covid-19 across two countries: a critical examination of initial digital pedagogy adoption. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, *30*(1), 7-25. Google Scholar↗

[11] Toktarova, V. I., & Semenova, D. A. (2020, November). Digital pedagogy: analysis, requirements and experience of implementation. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1691, No. 1, p. 012112). IOP Publishing. Google Scholar↗

[12] Sailin, S. N., & Mahmor, N. A. (2018). Improving Student Teachers Digital Pedagogy through Meaningful Learning Activities. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, *15*(2), 143-173. Google Scholar↗

[13] Kivunja, C. (2013). Embedding digital pedagogy in pre-service higher education to better prepare teachers for the digital generation. *International Journal of Higher Education*, *2*(4), 131-142. Google Scholar↗

[14] Nanjundaswamy, C., Baskaran, S., & Leela, M. H. (2021). Digital Pedagogy for Sustainable Learning. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, *9*(3), 179-185. Google Scholar↗

[15] Wake, C. (2018). Two decades of digital pedagogies in the performing arts: A comparative survey of theatre, performance, and dance. *International Journal of Performance Arts and Digital Media*, *14*(1), 52-69. Google Scholar↗

[16] Santoveña-Casal, S., & López, S. R. (2023). Mapping of digital pedagogies in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-22. Google Scholar↗

[17] Mashrabovich, A. A. (2022). The Importance of Using Digital Pedagogy in Higher Educational environment. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, *10*(5), 660-664. Google Scholar↗

[18] Blewett, C. (2016). From traditional pedagogy to digital pedagogy: Paradoxes, affordances, and approaches. In *Disrupting higher education curriculum* (pp. 265-287). Brill. Google Scholar↗

[19] Harahap, S. D., Jimmi, J., Pattiasina, P. J., Trinova, Z., & Damayanto, A. (2022). Trends in technological innovation in education during a time of pandemic disruption: Examining the perspective of teaching digital pedagogy. *Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *5*(1), 37-50. Google Scholar↗

[20] Marta-Lazo, C., Frau-Meigs, D., & Osuna-Acedo, S. (2019). A collaborative digital pedagogy experience in the tMOOC "Step by Step". *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *35*(5), 111-127. Google Scholar↗

[21] Paul, P., Bhimali, A., Kalishankar, T., Aithal, P. S., & Rajesh, R. (2018). Digital education and learning: the growing trend in academic and business spaces—An international overview. *International Journal on Recent Researches in Science, Engineering & Technology (IJRRSET)*, *6*(5), 11-18. Google Scholar↗

[22] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Impact of on-line education on higher education system. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, *1*(1), 225-235. Google Scholar↗

[23] Camblin Jr, L. D., & Steger, J. A. (2000). Rethinking faculty development. *Higher education*, *39*(1), 1-18. Google Scholar↗

[24] Brancato, V. C. (2003). Professional development in higher education. *New directions for adult and continuing education*, *2003*(98), 59-66. Google Scholar↗

[25] Austin, A. E., & Sorcinelli, M. D. (2013). The future of faculty development: Where are we going?. *New directions for teaching and learning*, *2013*(133), 85-97. Google Scholar↗

[26] Sunal, D. W., Hodges, J., Sunal, C. S., Whitaker, K. W., Freeman, L. M., Edwards, L., ... & Odell, M. (2001). Teaching science in higher education: Faculty professional development and barriers to change. *School Science and mathematics*, *101*(5), 246-257. Google Scholar↗

[27] Bergquist, W. H., & Phillips, S. R. (1975). Components of an effective faculty development program. *The Journal of Higher Education*, *46*(2), 177-211. Google Scholar↗

[28] Beaty, L. (1998). The professional development of teachers in higher education: Structures, methods and responsibilities. *Innovations in education and training international*, *35*(2), 99-107. Google Scholar↗

[29] Kamel, A. M. (2016). Role of faculty development programs in improving teaching and learning. *Saudi Journal of Oral Sciences*, *3*(2), 61-68. Google Scholar↗

[30] Harnish, D., & Wild, L. A. (1994). Mentoring strategies for faculty development. *Studies in Higher Education*, *19*(2), 191-201. Google Scholar↗

[31] Cordie, L. A., Brecke, T., Lin, X., & Wooten, M. C. (2020). Co-Teaching in Higher Education: Mentoring as Faculty Development. *International journal of teaching and learning in higher education*, *32*(1), 149-158. Google Scholar↗

[32] Irby, D. M. (1996). Models of faculty development for problem-based learning. *Advances in health sciences Education*, *1*(1), 69-81. Google Scholar↗

[33] Derting, T. L., Ebert-May, D., Henkel, T. P., Maher, J. M., Arnold, B., & Passmore, H. A. (2016). Assessing faculty professional development in STEM higher education: Sustainability of outcomes. *Science Advances*, *2*(3), e1501422. Google Scholar↗

[34] McLean, M., Cilliers, F., & Van Wyk, J. M. (2008). Faculty development: yesterday, today and tomorrow. *Medical teacher*, *30*(6), 555-584. Google Scholar↗

[35] Aithal, P. S. (2015). Faculty empowerment strategies in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, *5*(7), 108-115. Google Scholar↗

[36] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Maintaining teacher quality in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Current Research and Modern Education (IJCRME) ISSN (Online)*, 2455-5428. Google Scholar↗

[37] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Impact of on-line education on higher education system. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, *1*(1), 225-235. Google Scholar↗

[38] Tindowen, D. J. (2019). Influence of empowerment on teachers' organizational behaviours. *European Journal of Educational Research*, *8*(2), 617-631. Google Scholar↗

[39] Franklin, T. J. (2015). Embracing the future: empowering the 21st century educator. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *176*, 1089-1096. Google Scholar↗

[40] Kusumaningrum, D. E., Sumarsono, R. B., & Gunawan, I. (2019). Professional ethics and teacher teaching performance: Measurement of teacher empowerment with a soft system methodology approach. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, *5*(4), 611-624. Google Scholar↗

[41] Enderlin-Lampe, S. (2002). Empowerment: Teacher Perceptions, Aspirations and Efficacy. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, *29*(3). 139-147. Google Scholar↗

[42] Kolber, S., Nicoll, S., McGraw, K., Gaube, N., & Heggart, K. R. (2021). Leveraging social media and scholarly discussion for educator empowerment. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online)*, *46*(11), 37-53. Google Scholar↗

[43] Mulà, I., Tilbury, D., Ryan, A., Mader, M., Dlouhá, J., Mader, C., ... & Alba, D. (2017). Catalysing change in higher education for sustainable development: A review of professional development initiatives for university educators. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, *18*(5), 798-820. Google Scholar↗

[44] Toktamysov, S., Berestova, A., Israfilov, N., Truntsevsky, Y., & Korzhuev, A. (2021). Empowerment or limitation of the teachers' rights and abilities in the prevailing digital environment. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, *16*(2), 205-219. Google Scholar↗

[45] Abramovich, A., & Miedijensky, S. (2019). From a Guided Teacher into Leader: A Three-Stage Professional Development (TSPD) Model for Empowering Teachers. *Higher Education Studies*, *9*(2), 57-71. Google Scholar↗

[46] Tenório, K., Dermeval, D., Monteiro, M., Peixoto, A., & Pedro, A. (2020). Raising teachers empowerment in gamification design of adaptive learning systems: a qualitative research. In *Artificial Intelligence in Education: 21st International Conference, AIED 2020, Ifrane, Morocco, July 6–10, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 21* (pp. 524-536). Springer International Publishing. Google Scholar↗

[47] Kang, M. M., Park, S., & Sorensen, L. C. (2022). Empowering the frontline: internal and external organizational antecedents of teacher empowerment. *Public Management Review*, *24*(11), 1705-1726. Google Scholar↗

[48] Nascimbeni, F. (2020). Empowering university educators for contemporary open and networked teaching. *Radical solutions and open science: An open approach to boost higher education*, 123-134. Google Scholar↗

[49] Butera, F., Batruch, A., Autin, F., Mugny, G., Quiamzade, A., & Pulfrey, C. (2021). Teaching as social influence: Empowering teachers to become agents of social change. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, *15*(1), 323-355. Google Scholar↗

[50] Rafique, A., & Akhtar, M. M. S. (2020). Effect of demographic variables of university teachers on their perceived teacher empowerment. *Bulletin of Education and Research*, *42*(3), 241-256. Google Scholar↗

[51] Pass, S. (2004). *Parallel paths to constructivism: Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky*. IAP. Google Scholar↗

[52] Kessler, A., Phillips, M., Koehler, M., Mishra, P., Rosenberg, J., Schmidt-Crawford, D., ... & Shah, M. (2017, March). The technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) framework: Lineages of the first ten years of research: Part 1. In *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 2376-2380). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Google Scholar↗

[53] Hamilton, E. R., Rosenberg, J. M., & Akcaoglu, M. (2016). The substitution augmentation modification redefinition (SAMR) model: A critical review and suggestions for its use. *TechTrends*, *60*, 433-441. Google Scholar↗

[54] Geng, S., Law, K. M., & Niu, B. (2019). Investigating self-directed learning and technology readiness in blending learning environment. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1), 1-22. Google Scholar↗

[55] Knowles, M. (2014). Andragogy: An emerging technology for adult learning. *Education for Adults: Volume 1 Adult Learning and Education*, *83*(1), 53-70. Google Scholar↗

[56] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Organizational behaviour in 21st century–'Theory A' for managing people for performance. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, *18*(7), 126-134. Google Scholar↗

[57] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Comparative analysis of theory X, theory Y, theory Z, and Theory A for managing people and performance. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME)*, *1*(1), 803-812. Google Scholar↗

[58] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Theory A for optimizing human productivity. *IRA-International Journal of Management & Social Sciences*, *4*(3), 526-535. Google Scholar↗

[59] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). CCE Approach through ABCD Analysis of 'Theory A' on Organizational Performance. *International Journal of Current Research and Modern Education (IJCRME)*, *1*(2), 169-185. Google Scholar↗

[60] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2017). Interconnecting Theory A and ABC Model of Organizational Performance. *International Journal of Management, Technology and Social Sciences (IJMTS), Srinivas Publishers*, *1*(1), 1-13. Google Scholar↗

[61] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Application of Theory A on ABC Model to enhance organizational research productivity in higher education. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJATET)*, *1*(1), 142-150. Google Scholar↗

[62] Aithal, P. S. (2021). Business Excellence through the Theory of Accountability. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, *5*(1), 88-115. Google Scholar↗

[63] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2019). Autonomy in higher education-towards an accountability management model. *International Journal of Management & Development*, *6*(10), 166-175. Google Scholar↗

[64] Aithal, P. S. (2018). How to boost faculty research performance in HEI's to improve intellectual property by integrating it with faculty compensation–A 'Theory of Accountability' based framework. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, *3*(2), 130-151. Google Scholar↗

[65] Aithal, P. S. (2018). Effect of Role Models-A Critical Study on the Recent Research Contribution of Vice-Chancellors of Selected Private Universities in India. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, *3*(1), 118-139. Google Scholar↗

[66] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2017). Integrating Theory A and Six thinking hats technique for improved organizational performance. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, *1*(2), 66-77. Google Scholar↗

[67] Aithal, P. S., Kumar, Prasanna, M. G., & Dillon, M. (2018). How to Improve the Employee Productivity of Banking System in India–A Theory of Accountability Based Analysis. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), (2018)*, *3*(2), 87-99. Google Scholar↗

[68] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). ABC model of research productivity and higher educational institutional ranking. *International Journal of Education and Management Engineering (IJEME)*, *6*(6), 74-84. Google Scholar↗

[69] Aithal, P. S., VT, S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Analysis of ABC Model of Annual Research Productivity using ABCD Framework. *International Journal of Current Research and Modern Education (IJCRME)*, *1*(1), 846-858. Google Scholar↗

[70] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2017). Interconnecting Theory A and ABC Model of Organizational Performance. *International Journal of Management, Technology and Social Sciences (IJMTS), Srinivas Publishers*, *1*(1), 1-13. Google Scholar↗

[71] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Research Performance Analysis of Some Indian Top Business Schools Using ABC Model. *International Journal of Computational Research and Development*, *1*(1), 70-83. Google Scholar↗

[72] Aithal, P. S. (2016). How to increase research productivity in higher educational institutions–SIMS model. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME)*, *1*, 447-458. Google Scholar↗

[73] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2017). Challenges and Opportunities for Research & Publications in Higher Education. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME)*, *2*(1), 42-49. Google Scholar↗

[74] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study of Annual Research Productivity in Indian Top Business Schools. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME)*, *1*(1), 402-414. Google Scholar↗

[75] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study of Research Productivity in World Top Business Schools. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, *1*(1), 629-644. Google Scholar↗

[76] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Student centric curriculum design and implementation–challenges & opportunities in business management & IT education. *IRA International Journal of Education and Multidisciplinary Studies*, *4*(3), 423-437. Google Scholar↗

[77] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Inspiring through Self-Contribution–An Analysis of How Active the Indian Top Business School Directors in Research & Publications. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, *1*(2), 137-154. Google Scholar↗

[78] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Excellence in Individual Research & Publications: Examining the Active Role of Role models (Deans) of World Top Business Schools. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, *1*(2), 179-199. Google Scholar↗

[79] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Promoting Faculty and Student Centered Research and Innovation based Excellence Model to Reimage Universities. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, *5*(1), 24-41. Google Scholar↗

*********